

*δύστανε, μοίρας ὅσον παροίχει*

# Instauration®

VOL. 23, NO. 9

AUGUST 1998

## Empire of Evil



Stalin in 1900

## The Safety Valve

*In keeping with Instauration's policy of anonymity, most communicants will be identified by the first three digits of their zip code.*

□ According to Jewish Holocaust-survivor Congressman Tom Lantos (D-CA) on CNN, an anti-missile defense *here* would be superfluous, but essential for use in the Middle East to protect American troops *there*. Anything to do with Israel?

899

□ It's said that the average American black IQ is only 85; Congolese barely 70. Be that as it may, no black is as stupid as the typical white liberal.

105

□ We once had a monopoly on the Bomb. Now the Chinese have a dozen or so nuclear-tipped missiles pointing at the U.S. As a result of the Clinton trip, they are supposed to have been de-targeted. But it only takes a few minutes to point them once again at the thither side of the Pacific.

966

□ Jewish influence knows no bounds. They, like no other ethnic group, have limitless rights. For instance, by special permission from the U.S. administration, American Jews can volunteer to serve in the Israeli army. The number of U.S. Jews who rushed to

volunteer in the Israeli army during past Israeli-Arab conflicts was quite large. Since Israeli censorship banned any mention of them, they were "invisible" to the U.S. media. The service of American Jews in the Israeli army is not supposed to include combat duty; only such tasks as helping repair tanks.

113

□ There's a journalistic adage that "Dog bites man" isn't news. "Man bites dog" is. Works with crime, too. Whites attack blacks, oodles of press coverage. The reverse is silence. Just as with dogs biting people. It may not be news, but white victims are far more common.

956

□ As a high-ranking official of a company, I often had to discuss accounting details concerning my pay, benefits and expense reimbursements with black female subordinates. In theory they should have been subservient to me, at my beck-and call. In practice I was the one who had to bow and scrape and curry favor. As a white man I was at their mercy. Who would've believe me if I had been charged with racism or sexual harassment?

119

□ The Majority is in a pretty fix. It's afraid to identify its most dangerous enemy. It is not a foreign nation somewhere in the Old World. It is a foreign nation within the U.S.

470

□ There are times when democracy is a downright evil for all concerned, yet we are forced to worship it on a daily basis.

520

□ We have reached a point in Western history when our preachers and pastors are more corrupt than their flocks.

633

□ Why can't the Majority have an ombudsman like Jesse Jackson who will pop up anywhere there's a skirmish with the faintest whiff of racial overtones?

190

□ Has there ever been any people as lily-livered as our politicians? They tell us that Majorityites will be a minority by 2050, but do absolutely nothing about it. Even the dumbest animal faced with extinction will fight to survive. Polio is supposed to have been all but eradicated everywhere. The Majority is in a state of moral polio.

328

□ In your youth you were a Communist? Your parents were Communists? It's common to read in the N.Y. Times and other media that this kind of background was something quite laudable.

112

□ India and Pakistan, who are merrily setting off "test bombs," are stuffed with people who have little knowledge of these weapons. Indeed, one might say the same thing about the U.S. Who knows what our muttheads may do with them. All these "experts"

### CONTENTS

Empire of Evil. . . . .	4
The Anti-Ethnostate. . . . .	8
Disjointed Educationism. . . . .	9
Race, Religion and New Morality. . . . .	10
Israel at 50. . . . .	12
Bronfman's Shakedown. . . . .	13
Keep Your Eye on Le Pen. . . . .	15
Jewish Separatism. . . . .	16
Backtalk. . . . .	17
Inklings. . . . .	19
Cultural Catacombs. . . . .	20
Primate Watch. . . . .	22
Talking Numbers. . . . .	23
Wasphishly Yours. . . . .	24
Satcom Sam. . . . .	25
Notes from the Sceptred Isle. . . . .	26
Elsewhere. . . . .	27

### Instauration

is published 12 times a year by  
**Howard Allen Enterprises, Inc.**  
Box 76, Cape Canaveral, FL 32920

#### Annual Subscription

\$35 (third class)  
\$45 (first class)  
\$48 Canada  
\$50 foreign (surface)  
\$67 foreign (air)

Single copy price \$3, postpaid  
Magazine is mailed in plain white envelope

**Wilnot Robertson**, editor

Make checks payable to Howard Allen.  
Florida residents, please add 6% sales tax.

Third-class mail is not forwarded.  
Advise change of address well in advance.

ISSN 0277-2302

©1998 Howard Allen Enterprises, Inc.

## The Safety Valve

must be examined with a critical eye. They may not be quite as intelligent as they seem. Genuine intelligence involves character and vision.

177

□ Chinese leaders must secretly be smiling at Clinton's attempt to be "presidential," while his sex scandals rage at home. At least the Chinks are polite about it. I understand just before his arrival in Beijing all books which discussed Clinton's sexual escapades and subsequent "troubles" were removed from all bookstores.

377

□ When National Public Radio spinners sadly reported on the defeat of bilingual education in California, they spiced up the facts with two interviews: a Latina teacher who called the vote, "An attack on our children"; a multicultural activist who confidently predicted that the courts would throw the initiative out.

411

□ California educators insist that the public school curriculum should include a representative proportion of non-Western authors. Toss out Shakespeare, replace him with. . .well, you get the idea. This is a concept deserving of wider application. Isn't it Eurocentric to use the white man's computer? Better an abacus. Instead of a Western automobile to get to school, how about a kayak or rickshaw? Get sick? Go to a shaman, not a medical doctor. In this way students could really experience cultural diversity.

300

□ The Majority has taken such a beating in the last half-century that an all-out *physical* ethnic cleansing would have caused less damage than the *psychological* one we are experiencing. Our racial morale is being undermined and we are not permitted to utter a word of protest. Will we ever come out of this coma?

544

□ Jews openly warn about what must be done to keep up their numerical strength and maintain their continuity. I doubt very much that the N.Y. Times would publish an ad promoting Gentile continuity!

117

□ The more money blacks get, the less they associate with other blacks. They'd rather have a modest home in Santa Monica to the best house in all-black Watts.

920

□ Those of you who live without wetbacks don't know what you're missing.

775

□ I guess I'm a bit old-fashioned and out of things. I always thought I knew what "sex" was. But Clinton has totally rewritten the dictionary when it comes to that word. You can tell the Arkansas Romeo's legal background and the lawyerly penchant for obfuscation are at work here.

802

□ How about the motto for an airline or the emergency ward of a hospital: "We put Diversity first!" That ought to drag in the customers.

290

□ Yarmulke-wearing Gingrich in Jerusalem, kowtowing to Israel: Front-runner for Majority Renegade of 1998?

120

□ In our post-industrial age the old saw, "carrying coals to Newcastle," is a bit dated. I think we need a more contemporary figure of speech to convey the same meaning. How about "prescribing Viagra for Clinton?"

752

□ ABC's *Nightline* recently reported that General Motors lost a billion dollars in an almost completely unsuccessful attempt to "diversify" its dealerships. Host Ted Koppel wrack-

ed his brain trying to figure out why it failed. When blacks fail and white perfidy is not easily found, the experts are at a loss.

401

□ Why should an outspoken feminist like Hillary stand by her lying, cheating man? Maybe powerful interests have offered her a mega-dollar inducement to postpone the divorce until the day after hubby's out of office.

Canadian subscriber

□ The complaints about Eurocentric education are partly true: Some Asian cultures (Indian, Chinese, for instance) do have riches largely ignored here. The trouble is with Afrocentrism. There's so little there, much of it has to be invented.

955

□ Whites are too intimidated to complain when Clinton boasts that in two generations the Majority will be a minority in its own land. At that future time those retired on Social Security will still be mostly white. Those paying for them will be mostly nonwhite. Food for thought?

556

### Hasten to Herndon

The Third American Renaissance Conference will be held in Herndon (VA) August 28-30. For the geographically challenged, Herndon is in the penumbra of Washington. The conference will explore and attempt to answer the question, "Why is race the problem that will not go away?" Call (703) 716-0900 for details regarding price and accommodations or write American Renaissance, P.O. Box 527, Oakton, VA 22124. Come meet like-minded old and new friends. Listen to words and ideas heard nowhere else in these benighted times from such taboo-smashers as J. Philippe Rushton, Sam Francis and Jared Taylor.

# Empire of Evil

**N**ikolai Tolstoy's *Stalin's Secret War*<sup>1</sup> leaves no room for doubt about the real nature of the Soviet Union. For the sheer magnitude of its crimes against humanity, nothing in history can match it. Despite all the talk of universal brotherhood, the Soviet hierarchy lived like prosperous gangsters. Kremlin officials behaved, said a foreign diplomat, more like an occupying power than a legitimate government. Hence the need for a huge and ruthless force of secret police. Always in fear of the masses they ruled, Bolshevik leaders made a prison of their entire domain, the "Gulag Archipelago"<sup>2</sup> its fearsome symbol. Solzhenitsyn tells us that some 60 million people died in the horrific slave-camp system from 1918 to 1956.

Former Israeli Prime Minister Menahem Begin briefly fell into the Gulag in 1940. A Zionist wishing to present Jewish suffering under Hitler as having been unique in human history, he tells us in *White Nights*<sup>3</sup> that Nazi camps cannot be compared to those of the Gulag. Why not, when 3 million died in Kolyma and 2 million in Vorkuta, to name but two of Stalin's worst. Some 7,000 slaves whose task was finished were driven onto a mined cliff and blown up. Other victims were sunk in barges or frozen to death in transit or used as human mine detectors. A trainload of prisoners were simply burned alive when the train couldn't be moved before the German advance.

Why was the International Red Cross allowed access to Hitler's camps throughout the war but *never* to Stalin's? As to Begin's suggestion that the former offered only a quick death and the latter maybe a slow one, Germany has paid compensation to over 4 million Holocaust survivors, most of them Jewish. Gulag survivors got nothing but a criminal record. Begin's prejudice, however, is evident in his failure, when listing Stalin's chief accomplices, to make any mention of Lazar Kaganovich, the most powerful Jew in the Soviet Union. His story is told by Stuart Kahan in *The Wolf of the Kremlin*.<sup>4</sup> For many years second only to Stalin, he was a clever organizer of titanic energy who was widely held responsible for 20 million deaths.


For institutionalized sadism and the systematic dehumanization of its victims, nothing came close to the Gulag until Hitler started acting like a Bolshevik. Der Führer wrote, "There is more that binds us to Bolshevism

than separates us from it." His Chief Prosecutor, Roland Freisler (whom he called "our Vyshinsky"), had himself been a Bolshevik commissar in the Ukraine. This illustrates how each system was happy to recruit from the other.

Even a motto of Hitler's camps—*Hier ist kein Warum*—eerily echoed the spirit of the Gulag. Were not the crematoria of Auschwitz-Birkenau rivaled by the smoking chimneys of the dreaded Lubyanka hellhole in Moscow—"the prison of no return?" The rhetoric of Aryan superiority or Marxist-Leninism was merely a cloak for the naked ambition towards absolute power. Each dictator admired the other for his ruthlessness. Thus Hitler stated in 1942: "Stalin must command our unconditional respect. He's a hell of a fellow—half-beast, half-giant." Stalin's adviser on German affairs, Karl Radek, said in 1934 he had seen "no reason why Fascist Germany and the Soviet Union should not get on together." He saw the Nazi Brownshirts as "a wonderful reserve of future Communists."

The two tyrannies did get on together for a while. They were on the same side when WWII began. Short of actually fighting, Stalin helped Hitler in every way he could—from sharing intelligence to shipping vast quantities of strategic materials to bypass the Allied embargo. He even allowed German U-boats the use of Russian bases to harass the Allied North Atlantic convoys. His Comintern assisted the Nazi cause by weakening resistance to Hitler through the activities of foreign Communist parties, a ploy particularly successful in France, where party members rejoiced in the fall of Paris, and in Britain, where unionists like Konnie Zilliacus impeded arms production, until the time came when every effort had to be made "for Uncle Joe!"

During the 1939-41 Russo-German Pact, Stalin had already gained—and brutally enslaved—half of Eastern Europe. In his mind an Axis triumph would have enabled him to divide the West with Hitler. The Nazi invasion of the Soviet Union came as such a shock that the Soviet leader fell into a three-day funk while German tanks rolled in. A major difference between them is that until the madness of his last days, Hitler was at least loyal to his own people and had fought bravely on the Western Front in WWI, while Stalin was the ever-scheming bureaucrat, loyal only to himself. Wasn't he plotting, just before his death, to liquidate even the four stalwarts who'd served him longest—Voroshilov, Molotov, Mikoyan and Kaganovich—so that he might at last stand alone on the pinnacle of absolute power? True, he led the Soviet Union to eventual victory over the Third Reich, although over a million of the people he had so cruelly betrayed chose the German side. Nevertheless most Russians fought heroically in



Menahem Begin was briefly  
Gulagged by Stalin



defense of the Motherland, forcing Stalin to admit ruefully, "They're not fighting for us!"

Nor would they have fought as they did if Himmler's brutish *Einsatzgruppen* had not aroused their hatred. General Hans Guderian tells in *Panzer!* how he was initially welcomed by Russian villagers, who would not let him leave until he had enjoyed their hospitality. And why shouldn't so many of the Soviet Union's oppressed minorities have joined the German side when, as Solzhenitsyn writes in the *Gulag Archipelago* (pp. 340-42):

They knew by 1941 what no-one else in the world knew; that nowhere on the planet, nowhere in history, was there a regime more vicious, more bloodthirsty, and at the same time more cunning and ingenious than the . . . self-styled Soviet regime.

That so many of those people were tamely handed back to Stalin's executioners after WWII is one of the worst scandals of modern history.

Why hadn't the Russian people revolted against this hellish tyranny? Their failure to do so certainly reinforced a negative image of them in the outside world. One reason is that they had never known anything but autocracy and the secret police were everywhere. Also the constant barrage of propaganda disguising evil as good could make people doubt even their own senses. (As proof of how long that poison lasts, don't we still see elderly Russians waving idealized photos of Stalin and demanding a return of "the good old days?") Those from whom simple folk should have received guidance were totally corrupt. At the height of Yezhov's terror purges in 1938, university officials pledged in *Pravda* to back Stalin all the way! In 1949 the Russian Orthodox Church, which Stalin had allowed to revive during the war because it stiffened morale, hailed his 70th birthday with a prayer thanking God for "giving us this Stalin, this pillar of social justice."

**Villains and Victims:** It cannot be denied that the ruling elite of the Soviet Union at the time of the Ukrainian Holocaust was largely Jewish. Soviet spymaster Pavel Sudoplatov reports in *Special Tasks*<sup>5</sup> that when he was transferred from Kharkov to Moscow in 1933, "Jews held top positions in every major Ministry." Nor can it be denied that in the 1917 October Revolution a popular uprising was virtually hijacked by Jewish Bolsheviks, who then proceeded to impose on the Russian people a ruthlessly atheistic regime which was not at all what the average Russian had in mind. Instead of the democratic "rule from below," which Lenin had promised them, the workers got an iron-fisted dictatorship of one party.

Yuri Martov and Rafael Albramovich joined Andrei


Vyshinsky—later notorious as Stalin's venomous prosecutor in the Moscow "Show Trials"—in heading the Menshevik faction soon swallowed up in Lenin's Bolsheviks. Lenin himself (né Ulyanov) was more Tartar than Jewish. His chief organizer was Yakov Sverdlov, who in 1918 sent Jakob Yurovsky, another Jew, to slaughter the Czar and his entire household. Leon Trotsky (né Bronstein) once rivaled Lenin and Stalin for the leadership. Grigori Zinoviev (né Radomyslsky) and Lev Kamenev (né Rozenfeld), together with Stalin, formed the ruling triumvirate upon Lenin's death.

Considerable support for the Bolshevik Revolution was furnished by the American-Jewish community. U.S. Army Intelligence reported in 1918 that of some 300 Jews it identified as "commissars," more than half had come from New York. Financially the Reds' most prominent American backer, though his contribution shrinks in comparison to the 140 million gold marks Lenin received from Berlin, was Jacob Schiff of Kuhn Loeb and Co. Since bankers are not noted for revolutionary fervor, one can only guess at Schiff's motive for funding Lenin with some \$20 million. Zionist Rabbi Stephen S. Wise left no doubt as to *his* feeling about the Communist takeover, "Some call it Marxism—I call it Judaism."

Confounding the rabbi, one of Lenin's first acts was to

close all synagogues and ban the study of Hebrew. Most Jewish Bolsheviks had already renounced Judaism, agreeing with Lenin that "even the thought of God is vile." Led by Chief Atheist Yaroslavsky (né Gubelmann), they persecuted *all* religionists. Soviet archives have recently revealed that some 200,000 priests were murdered, often in the cruelest ways. Stalin, of course, concurred in all of this slaughter. It was only by doing his will that Jews—or anyone else—could maintain their place in the leadership. And maintain it they did, despite Stalin's own anti-Semitism. (We need their minds," he explained.)

The entire Gulag was run at one time by Mattvei Berman; the NKVD by Genrikh Yagoda. Such people prided themselves on their status in "the new elite." All benefited from Stalin's 1930 decree that "Egalitarianism has no place in Marxist society." When millions of his countrymen were on the brink of starvation, Kamenev was lei-



**Josef the Terrible**

surely cruising around Moscow in a Rolls-Royce, perhaps to visit Yagoda in his mansion or the lavish spread of Foreign Minister Maxim Litvinov (né Isser Meir Wallach) or the luxurious Kremlin suite of spin doctor Karl Radek (né Sobelson).

If the Jewish elite prospered, it is also true that many Jewish people suffered greatly under the Soviet system. Despite having backed Stalin all the way, they came to be characterized as "rootless cosmopolitans," whose loyalty lay elsewhere. People of higher culture—which often meant Jews—were always a threat to Stalin. Writers, doctors, lawyers, scientists and teachers—all who "knew better" and might be tempted to expose the Bolshevik lie—were prime targets for his paranoia. The poet, Osip Mandelstam, was hounded to a cruel death in the Gulag, and the renowned physicist, Lev Landau, almost killed by his torturers, the same people who later strove frantically to keep him alive after a road accident! The celebrated actor, Mikhailoels, was brutally murdered for having dared complain to Molotov about cultural repression.

Mandelstam's widow, Nadezhda, writes in *Hope Against Hope*<sup>6</sup> (p. 202): "People who had voices were subjected to the vilest of tortures; their tongues were torn out, and with the stump that remained they were forced to glorify the tyrant." The famed Anna Akhmatova, whose patriarchal ancestor had rejoiced in the name, "Akhmat of the Golden Horde," had to write poems in praise of Stalin because the Gulag held her son hostage. What could happen even to true believers is poignantly described in Suzanne Rosenberg's *Soviet Odyssey*.<sup>7</sup> Although lifelong Communists, both she and her mother wound up in the Gulag for no better reason than that they had once lived in "capitalist" Canada. Suzanne was typical of millions disillusioned by the Bolshevik tyranny but powerless to rise against it. Of the terror years commencing in 1936, she writes (p. 58):

How wrong we all were in thinking that silence and acquiescence would save our skins. It did not. Instead, it created an atmosphere in which it was possible to bring millions to a death all the more cruel because so many of the victims were loyal supporters and dedicated builders of the Soviet system.

Nadezhda seconds this: "We all took the easy way out by keeping silent in the hope that not we but our neighbors would be killed."

If Jewish people in the Soviet Union suffered, as tens of millions of non-Jews did, it was largely at the hands of the Jewish commissars who ran the Secret Police and the Gulag! How could the same ethnic minority become both the villains and the victims of Bolshevik tyranny? Paul Johnson addresses this in *A History of the Jews*<sup>8</sup> (pp. 454-55): The outside world "simply assumed that, since the Jews were among the principal instigators of Bolshevism, they must be among its principal beneficiaries." In truth, he says, "only non-Jews held power," meaning those who

had renounced their Judaism and were united in their hatred of all religion. If it seems odd that the Jewish Radek should admire a regime already notorious for its anti-Semitism, one has to remember that Bolsheviks commonly renounced all former loyalties, even to their own race. ("Proletarians have no fatherland.") Russianizing their



names, they could be as cruel to their own people as to any other. When Stalin taunted his personal secretary with being "a dirty little Yid," the man replied, "I'm not a Jew; I'm a Communist."

Kaganovich hated his own origin as the son of a pious tailor in a Ukrainian village often raided by marauding Cossacks.

When reproached for abetting his own brother's suicide, he replied, "Stalin is my brother." Asked, "What kind of a Jew are you?" he shouted, "Screw the Jews! They do nothing for themselves." Beseeched to save an historic synagogue, he had it turned into a warehouse.

"Kogan," who died in bed a few years ago, was not the first Bolshevik to betray his own people. Already in 1921, as part of a drive to abolish "bourgeois morality," Yagoda's deputy, Yakov Agranov, masterminded the execution of 60 Jewish cultural figures, including Akhmatova's first husband. The pattern was repeated in later purges. When Litvinov's deputy was tortured into falsely implicating Soviet ambassadors, the case against them was manufactured by Israel Pinzur. When Komarov tortured academician Goldshtein into ratting on his own colleagues, there was Yakov Braverman writing it all down. When Zinoviev, who in 1918 had so glibly dismissed the lives of 10 million other people who would have to be "annihilated" to enforce the Bolshevik program, was licking the boots of his executioners in a frantic attempt to save his own life, one of them was Stalin's personal barber and NKVD deputy, Karl Pauker, who later re-enacted the horrid scene to Stalin's great amusement.


This fratricidal pattern is emphasized in a truthful book by Arkady Vaksberg, *Stalin Against The Jews*,<sup>9</sup> which illuminates not only the extent of Jewish power, but also how the infinitely cunning Stalin was able again and again to counter it, while preserving the fiction that he himself was not anti-Semitic, by using Jews to destroy other Jews. He had no lack of helpers. The book bristles with the names of sadistic thugs such as Meer Trilisser, the Comintern boss who had earlier distinguished himself as a rival to Yagoda by blowing up Sofia Cathedral with the Bulgarian Czar and his government inside it. Others were Rumin, Rodos, Shvartsman, Raitses and child-torturer Andrei Sverdlov, son of the onetime President. ("The name Sverd-

lov, both father and son, elicits in decent people only one response—disgust.”) The murderous military prosecutor, Naum Rozovsky, went to his death protesting that he hadn’t killed *enough!*

To his credit, Vaksberg does not hesitate to condemn these monsters. Of the Jewish commissars running 11 of the 12 major Gulag complexes, he notes that Stalin could take pleasure “in knowing that the Jewish sadists who served him elicited the disdain and loathing of their millions of slaves” (p. 98).

**Where the Offense Is:** Given the ghastly outcome of the Russian Revolution, Arkady Vaksberg can scarcely be blamed for trying to counter an impression that it was largely a Jewish creation, an impression, he suggests, “rooted in anti-Semitism.” But here we have to ask, Can *facts* be anti-Semitic? He admits, “Every one of Stalin’s serious rivals [in the vicious post-Revolutionary struggle for power] was Jewish” (p. 18). Besides Trotsky, Sverdlov, Kamenev and Zinoviev, they included the clever Sokolnikov and the mysterious Isaiah Goloschekin, who was to become the Butcher of Kazakhstan, just as Bela Kun was to be the scourge of Hungary, and Zalkind of the Crimea. We read, “the abundance of Jewish names in the higher and middle levels of power is indisputable” (p. 22) and, “As fate would have it, the people who surrounded Stalin and rendered him services in the twenties and thirties were mostly Jews” (p. 35).

A large number of Gentiles in Stalin’s entourage had Jewish wives, many of whom were political activists in their own right. The fearsome Yezhov, who succeeded Yagoda, had a Jewish spouse—until he killed her to please Stalin. So did his successor, the monstrous Lavrenti Beria, a Georgian like his boss, who promoted 13 Jews to high positions in his “Gestapo.” This illustrates how Jewish



KGB’s #1 killer,  
Lavrenti Beria

power in the Soviet system, even when denied the highest posts, persisted through the interlocking influence of family ties. *Could all of these people have been “non-Jews?”* Johnson’s claim is already weakened by his thesis that the Jewish people were specially created by God to be “a light unto the nations.” It is even weaker when we learn that

literati such as Pravda boss Lezhnev (né Altschuler), novelists Isaac Babel and Vasilii Grossman, and journalists Lilya Ehrenburg and Mikhail Koltsov were drawn to the GPU like moths to a flame. Babel in particular shocked Grossman by saying, “I have learned to watch calmly as people are executed.”

Despite all Stalin’s purges, Jewish power had not declined significantly before his death. Kaganovich might then have been the only Jew left in the old hierarchy, but the “vile” Mekhlis was still closer to Stalin than anyone

else, and much of the bureaucracy was still Jewish-run. Jewish power in foreign affairs may have declined since Molotov took over from Litvinov. But then whom had Stalin appointed as the ruthless dictators of his postwar satellites? Rudolf Slansky (né Zaltman) in Czechoslovakia; Matyos Rakoszy in Hungary; Yakub Berman in Poland; Anna Pauker in Romania!

Though its undisputed monarch was a Georgian who secretly hated them, the Soviet Union under Stalin’s rule was still quite substantially a “Kingdom of the Red Jews.” That was the name given by Jews in medieval Europe to the legendary Khazar Empire, which flourished north of the Caucasus (A.D. 650-1050) and whose leaders for political reasons had converted to Judaism (circa A.D. 740). From its Mongolian-Jewish population are descended a fair amount of today’s Ashkenazim, who constitute the bulk of Western Jewry. (Even today, Russians resenting Jewish influence in their country will refer to it as “the Khazar Khanate.”)

In any case, Soviet Jews were never more powerful than at the time of the Terror Famine. Their dominant part in carrying it out, and in the cover-up led by Radek and Litvinov, cannot be denied. Their attitude was summed up in the cruel comment of Politburo member Mendel Khatayevich, who had sent 50,000 “loyal” urban Communists into the countryside to strip every scrap of food from starving villagers. When it was all over, he said, “It took a famine to show them who’s master here.”

If Germans are blamed for the Nazi Holocaust, why shouldn’t Jews be blamed for being in charge of the Ukrainian Holocaust, which killed 7 or 8 million? As the King said to Hamlet before the play within the play, “Where the offense is, let the great axe fall.”

PETER J. LORDEN

## SOURCES

1. *Stalin’s Secret War*, Nikolai Tolstoy (Jonathan Cape, London, 1981).
2. *The Gulag Archipelago, 1918-1956*, Aleksandr Solzhenitsyn (One-volume edition, Harper and Row, N.Y., 1985).
3. *White Nights—The Story of a Prisoner in Russia*, Menahem Begin (Harper and Row, N.Y., 1977).
4. *The Wolf of the Kremlin*, Stuart Kahan (William Morrow, N.Y., 1987).
5. *Special Tasks—The Memoirs of an Unwanted Witness—A Soviet Spymaster*, Pavel and Anatoli Sudoplatov with Gerrold and Leona Schechter (Little, Brown, 1994).
6. *Hope Against Hope*, Nadezhda Mandelstam (Atheneum, N.Y., 1970).
7. *Soviet Odyssey*, Suzanne Rosenberg (Oxford University Press, Toronto, 1988).
8. *A History of the Jews*, Paul Johnson (Weidenfeld and Nicholson, London, 1987).
9. *Stalin Against The Jews*, Arkady Vaksberg (Alfred A. Knopf, N.Y., 1994).

# The Anti-Ethnostate

A few weeks ago, a sign proclaiming, "Welcome to California—The Illegal Immigration State" appeared on a billboard that greets visitors driving in from Arizona. The Illegal Immigration State: yes, that's one thing the former Bear Flag State, the erstwhile Golden State, has become. But—for all the worthy intentions of the Coalition for Immigration Reform that erected the billboard—illegal immigration is only one symptom of the underlying contagion.

Not too long after the sardonic sign went up, President Clinton came to California to give a speech. The nation's chief magistrate (and chief executive of its tattered immigration laws) chose the occasion to rhapsodize that California and four other U.S. states will soon enough have no majority race. That Clinton was celebrating the eclipse of America's beleaguered Majority—the nation's founding and sustaining race—doubtless came as no surprise to hardened Instaurationists. Nor should the fact that the President's hosannas to the Majority's dispossession came at the commencement festivities of a once great university, the intellectual, moral and physical architecture of which are entirely the work of the white race, have any special irony for us. All that's old hat.

What does pique some interest at the First Rogue's latest race rant is Clinton's unbridled (though no doubt expertly feigned) joy at the prospect that all too soon will not only the Majority no longer be the majority, but—no race will! We are thereby given to believe that the resulting racial stew will serve not only the idol "diversity," but will somehow deter interracial naughtiness, from discrimination to anthropophagy to even a "Holocaust." The President, ably guided by his clever advisors, has proclaimed a new racial federalism that trumps the botched old design of the dead, white "Founding Fathers." He now prepares America for launch into the Millennium in all its rainbow-hued multiversity. So Clinton and his managers would have us nervous Caucasians not only believe, but celebrate with Dionysian transport.

California, by Clinton's lights, embodies the multiracial motley in all its majesty, with sizable minorities from all the major races. As any sentient white who lives amidst its mixed-race precincts knows, or any visitor soon apprehends, California is a congeries of mutually suspicious alien races, occasionally united only by their envy and hatred of us palefaced longnoses. With a nod towards our editor's persuasive case for the Ethnostate, a homogeneous polity that sacrifices size and imperial aspiration to build instead on a kindred stock with a common ethos, California is the Anti-Ethnostate.

For all the garish colors and febrile vitality of the state's

sprawling racial hubbub, what first strikes this former resident and recent visitor to the Anti-Ethnostate is the drabness, physical and spiritual, which rules the scene. Which is not to say that certain alien quarters are not without their charm or sometimes even their utility. As to nearly all the nonwhite neighborhoods, however—black, brown, yellow, what have you—only a sociologist could love them.

Worse still than the general dinginess (including the maraudings of nonwhite criminals) is the hypocrisy, moral and intellectual, which hovers, like the smog above Los Angeles, over all that touches public policy regarding California's transformation into the Third World. It will come as no surprise to readers of this column to be told that the chief villain here is neither the Mexican wetback nor the stowaway from South China nor even Snoop Doggy Dogg from South Central Los Angeles. The Majority's oppressor and grave digger in California, as elsewhere, is a media-financial-business-political colossus often steered, to be sure, by Jews, but heavily staffed, owned and run by whites.

Like its California predecessor of the 1880s, Frank Norris's railroad-banking-shipping "Octopus," today's ruling clique is in direct defiance of the wishes of most Californians, as exemplified by its successful campaign to make Proposition 187 a dead letter. Unlike the Octopus though, today's unelected establishment seeks to dominate not straightforwardly through force and power, but through indirection, dissimulation and deceit. What is striking is how feline, deceitful, hidden, yet all-pervasive and unforgiving is the cabal that rules the Anti-Ethnostate.

Its chief local oracles, TV stations and newspapers, devote much of their energy to chastising white "racism." Not even death deters the furies of the Anti-Ethnostate from pursuing their quarry. Thus when baseball executive Al Campanis—the Los Angeles Dodgers' man whose inopportune television sound bite on why he thought blacks couldn't manage in the big leagues made brief news a decade ago—died last week, the Los Angeles Times headlined primly: "A Lifetime Destroyed by a Few Words." Soon enough, they'll demand the power to inscribe the "racists'" tombstones.

While the snide oracles of the race-destroying Leviathan spare no effort at crucifying any tattooed white jackanapes unwise enough to punch a Negro in the nose, they are no less bent on justifying, rationalizing, or—best of all—concealing the antiwhite racialism of the minorities. Concealment, too, is deemed by California media best for every evidence of the interminority hatreds that fester and sometimes flare in the Anti-Ethnostate, to wit, the long-standing and often bloody strife between black and Mexican. If such unpleasant facts prove temporarily unconceal-

able, the prescribed response is a bout of soul-searching, hand-wringing "agonizing"—concluded best of all by blaming Whitey.

It's crisis, though, that brings the minority-friendly Anti-Ethnostate media hydra to the summit of its powers of obfuscation. After Bill Cosby's son was shot dead (in circumstances still not entirely clarified, but that's another matter), the chief suspect shortly proved to be a thuggish young white man with promisingly short hair. But soon enough, alas, Mikail Markhasev turned out to be a recent immigrant from the former Soviet Union who, together with his mother, gives every sign of being a bird of a feather with the vast gaggle of Russian Jews that have flocked to the Anti-Ethnostate in recent years. Did broadcast media or press let the least suspicion slip? Not on your life: their

formula for Ennis Cosby's killer is "Ukrainian immigrant!"

Majorityites hightailing it out of the President's promised minority paradise (as so many Majorityites have been doing recently) will have, in exile from its oceans and its mountains, the luxury at least to wonder how they came to lose the Golden State, and perhaps to ruminate on when the glories of the Anti-Ethnostate will fasten on them in their new homes.

No need to wonder, though, about a copy of the anti-immigration billboard if they're driving into Arizona. The illegals' representatives complained. They threatened boycotts of Best Western and Burger King, who advertised with the offending billboard's owners. The companies complied; the anti-immigration sign came down.

MORIARTY

## Disjointed Educationism

The scuttlebutt about the federal agency in which I work is that President Bill has asked each office head to kick in a minimum of \$20,000 from his research budget as a donation to the American Negro College Fund. Hearing of this request, my own bureau chief immediately upped the ante to \$50,000, thereby making his agency the unofficial Angel of Minorityism and his own career a 24-carat sure bet so long as Princess Hillary remains head of the nation's Department of Ideology.

In keeping with this spirit, my agency years ago chose to finance the college education of two Afro-American sisters. Over time the academic ups and downs of these ladies have become the talk of the water cooler. As might be expected, not everything has gone swimmingly. Failed courses, swapped majors and round-robins of switched schools extended their learning adventure well beyond the traditional four years' duration.

Degree or no, these gals' futures are assured. Bureaucratic protocol demands an offer of employment be tendered lest an impression is given that the outlay had been a bad investment. Not that the girls actually attended primarily black schools. Such, apparently, was their scholarly self-confidence that both opted for the peppier pace of primarily white schools. Minorities on such campuses are often viewed as fish masquerading as fowl—out of their element, unsure why they are there, possibly even doubting the essential merit of higher education itself. Most minorities on scholarships drift about so long as their racial gratuity endures, reverting back to the street culture when the mon-

ey flow is stopped.

Students at "historically black" colleges seem happier with an environment that replaces academic struggle with the high jinks of sexual debauchery and social dissipation. The rub comes when Tiffany and Claron present themselves to the world of work, their dime-store degrees from Collegium Dementia having produced no standard English, no cogent prose, no skills in the drill of two-plus-two.

Some years back my government agency hired such a minority on the strength of his pending Ph.D. in finance from an "historically black" university. Roosevelt, it turns out, couldn't spell the word "finance," let alone discourse on its higher elements. From such a perspective, the value of these colleges might be questioned. Notwithstanding the dubious assertion that "a (minority) mind is a terrible thing to waste," such places separate the racial wheat from the chaff, leaving the primarily white schools to go about their business at their own pace. On the premise that Majority colleges stand as the nation's only fully functioning institutions, preserving their health is essential, perhaps difficult to evaluate but still critical. What isn't hard to estimate is the cost of maintaining minorities at primarily white schools. Not long ago an official of Georgetown University commented publicly that fully one-third of the tuition-paying students is earmarked for minority scholarships. For the average Georgetown, this means at least \$10,000 plowed into the privilege of sharing a lab table with Clevon in Bio 101. Beyond that, minorities spell social chaos or what campus police term the "C-V" factor—not

"curriculum vitae," but crime-and-violence. Strike one, the police say, and you eliminate the other.

With this in mind, it is surprising to find college administrators wringing their hands about the probable "whitening effect" on the college scene of pending anti-affirmative action litigation currently wending its way through the nation's courts. What concerns these eduphilosophers is the prospect that America of the 21st century will find itself "racially unprepared" for the challenge imposed by the New World Order. Such a vision, however, turns reality on its head. When America arrives at the point where minorities provide the leadership, there will be no world waiting to be led. Doubtless, minorities will play an increasing role in national affairs as time goes on. But that, as they say, is our problem not the world's. As for college administrators, they better stick to their own knitting instead of pondering the deeper questions of race.

Meanwhile the politico-racial questions remain hot-button topics in conservative think-tank circles. In most cases the approach taken usually presumes an intrinsic value in maintaining America's world domination. Missing from debate is the consideration of what value can be assigned to a thousand little wind-up Jesse Jacksons, Reverend Farrakans and Marion Barrys pratfalling and pinwheeling their way across the national stage. What does it matter passing on the torch of greatness given to us by Washington, Jefferson and Adams when the waiting hands are dusky?

IVAN HILD



# Race, Religion and the New Morality

**T**he destruction of the white race in America is being justified by a new, modern and now “postmodern” morality fueled in large part by emotional, hyper-evangelical religion. Concurrently, and not coincidentally, this religion has also been the rabble-rousing fuel of all sorts of hucksters, frauds, revival circus freaks and social change agents.

Loosely termed this religion may be described as modern evangelicalism. Its roots go back to ancient times, to the Baal worship of the Canaanite-Jewish caravan merchants who borrowed bits of superstitious lore from their trading partners during their Levantine and Oriental excursions.

For a thousand years this civilization-leveling religion and its practitioners were cordoned off from the Aryan peoples and consequently had no impact upon the development of Western culture. Occasional thrusts into the cultural mainstream in the form of Gnosticism, Kabbalism, witchcraft and Satanism were beaten back, sometimes by violent means. With the advent of the Protestant Reformation, the power of religion as a means of mass manipulation became apparent to all manner of little tyrants.

While the Reformation served to correct some of the abuses of the Catholic Church and to establish a new, more accessible Christian Church, it also set in motion the forces of atomism and sectarianism. Sects and cults set up shop in Munster, Germany, announcing the coming end of the world. This millennialism was borrowed from the fantastic rabbinical musings, which are today deemed the “wisdom” of the Talmud.

The evangelicals preached the necessity of rejecting life “in this world” and preparing for the next. This rejection of common sense and reality having little appeal to the German people, the evangelicals moved on. Many of their manipulative tactics, however, were taken up by opportunistic aristocrats, politicians and secret societies.

In the mid-19th century Charles Dickens looked over the Victorian London skyline and saw hundreds of spires and steeples of every religion then imaginable. Without the strict accountability and hierarchy found among the Presbyterians, Episcopalians and Catholics, evangelicals’ excesses went unchecked. Millennialistic propaganda fed the kind of hysteria and emotionalism whipped up by roving, unattached preachers, who persuaded the masses to drastically alter their lives “for Christ.”

In the U.S. the Protestant Puritans knew what would happen if the new religion invaded the land. They fired cannon shot at the Quaker ships as they sailed near the shore line. The Puritan posterity ultimately surrendered the cause to a U.S. Constitution that permitted freedom of

religion and pluralistic universalism. The national motto of *E Pluribus Unum* was violated from the very beginning.

The religious universalists, from the Baptists and Brethren to the Quakers to the Universalist-Unitarians, decided that abolition of slavery was somehow to be found in scripture as God’s will for America. As Otto Scott wrote in *The Secret Six*, the modern evangelicals made league with the country’s first socialists and the radical Republicans. Setting the example for other civil institutions, Negroes were invited into these churches. A new morality was proclaimed throughout the land.

The new morality was the natural outcome of reckless religious revivals. Crowds engaged in extremes of frenzied behavior. Congregations barked and howled like dogs one moment, then fell en masse into a stupor. Believers were subjected to fits of emotional ecstasy. Musical shows with unintelligible lyrics and uncontrolled dancing became the order of the day. As might be expected in such an environment, there were all sorts of sexual byplay. Interracial sexual contact was said to demonstrate the new brotherhood of God’s people.

The new morality trumpeted abolitionism, temperance and Prohibition, women’s suffrage and feminism, free love and ultimately interracial marriage and Negro citizenship, as conferred by government, not by God, nature or heritage.

The dreadful “equality” and “independence” vicariously won for aliens within a nation not their own remains a unique feature in the history of government. Because of the nature of this victory the Negro race remains obligated to central government.

The vicarious victory for Negroes in the Civil War was only temporary. From the end of Reconstruction until 1954, the white majorities of the various states largely preserved their rights to self-government, that is, in what touched their families directly and what mattered most. Beginning in 1954, however, with the *Brown v. Board of Education* case permitting racial integration of public schools, central government began to assert special rights for blacks, ostensibly stemming from the gift of congressional citizenship granted them in the post-Civil War 14th Amendment.

Thurgood Marshall was the NAACP lead attorney in the *Brown* case. He argued it was emotionally destructive to black children to be educated apart from white children. His conclusion was bolstered by a study written by Negro sociologist Kenneth Clark, in which Negro children were said to prefer playing with white dolls. Subsequently the federal courts discovered that Clark’s “study” was entirely fictional. Marshall’s presentation was emotional, not factual, not legal and certainly not constitutional.

The Supreme Court adopted Marshall's findings as its basis for dictating racial integration. White mercy and magnanimity was, as always, played upon by shrewd minorities to wheedle yet another grant of privilege. Precedent was set for the rule of law to be overturned by the new morality and its religious and political pluralism. The civil rights movement grew and marched forth under the banner of modern evangelicalism and the new morality.

Ours remains an era in which emotional pleas for "salvation" have been used by Negro reverends to plead for all manner of racial privilege. The conditioning of modern evangelicalism has induced people to shift their theocentric worship of God to the humanistic worship of "equality."

Just as importantly, the new morality has become the province of minority revolutionaries. The particular language of this religion is reserved for those showboaters who make public spectacles of racial "reconciliation" and "inclusiveness." Even in the debate concerning affirmative action and quotas, solipsistic, pandering "white" leaders do not refer primarily to the Constitution or history, but to the "intent" of the civil rights laws.

It's no coincidence that the 1960s witnessed the monstrous popularity of both the civil rights movement and religion in the form of Pentecostalism and other charismatic movements. One of the spinoffs of the evangelicals' religiosity after the Civil War, Pentecostalism was confined at first to Negro churches. By mid-20th century this voodoo-like faith had swept all across America. Worshipping with Negroes, in the Negro manner, was considered by modern evangelicals to be a "new dispensation" of the Holy Spirit. To oppose the Negro or interracialism is to oppose God. Messianic central government echoes this new morality.

The self-evident decline of Christianity in America has coincided with the rise of intrusive federalism and the public policy victories of the civil rights movement. Pollster George Barna found that 60% of self-professed Christians do not believe in absolute truth, while more than 95% believe in integrated worship services. No absolute truth means no moral absolutes and no racial absolutes. It's that simple.

Hundreds of thousands of Christians call telephone psychics for spiritual guidance, now a \$300-million-a-year business. We know the stories of the Jimmy Swaggarts, the Jim and Tammy Faye Bakkers, the Robert Tiltons, Oral and son Richard with their 900-foot Jesus tower. Interracialists one and all, frauds one and all. With the possible exception of Oral Roberts, all have been tainted by sexual scandal. All have as their saint Michael King, aka Martin Luther King, adulterer, plagiarist and fellow traveler.

Despite, or perhaps because of, tyrannical enforcement, the failure of federally imposed "equality" was a given from the very outset. The experiment to uplift an entire race on the backs of the Majority has failed.

The new morality guided the formation of a virtually new nation unrecognizable to the Founding Fathers. Many scholars and historians are now admitting that the judicial

decisions and legislation emanating from the civil rights movement were imposed on Americans against their express will and against the rule of law. The new morality has become the prevalent standard—all law, natural law, constitutions, religion, history, science and self-government to the contrary be damned.

The race hucksters are revising their strategy in accordance with these new conditions. Now that the new morality is not just the *de facto* but the *de jure* means of toppling white society, Negro activists have been credentialled as white society's "moral supremacists."

The new morality works in only one direction, towards the condemnation of the white race. Destructive means are necessary and therefore moral, as Malcolm X held, because white supremacy so pervades America that all of white society must be eradicated, root and branch. The good news here is that, finally, the minority revolutionaries are revealed to be barbarians. Their new morality is a sham. Their religion and the religion of their ostensibly white counterparts is false religion.

Is it too late for Majority members to be aware of this? Are they, like South African whites, too numb, too comfortable, too blind or too mentally stunted to act?



Unsaintly saint

I listened the other day as Shawn Minton, a host on the syndicated Talk America Radio Network, delved into the subject of race and Clinton's commission on race. Minton, no different than a hundred other media folk, spouted the usual line about the color of people's skin not mattering, etc., etc. Whenever we listen closely to these types, we find multiple inconsistencies.

Minton argues that a contemplated national apology for slavery is not necessary, because it would be unfair to white people today. At any rate, an apology would not be enough. Only reparations would fill the bill. He makes no mention that reparations would also be unfair, as he nervously laughs and calls racially minded whites "hicks" who have "a 1910 mentality."

Minton was in over his head, as is anyone who is not staunchly moral, objective and supportive of his own white racial kindred. The redeeming feature of confronting people like him is that they eventually have to deal with their contradictions, which can only result in their coming over to our side, that is, if they are logical, moral and rea-

sonable and not fully under the mesmerism of the new morality.

Those who change their thinking must agree with the immoral minority racial hucksters in one respect. In their claim that all white Americans are white supremacists, the racial hucksters are, surprise!, correct. American society was created to be a white society. Western ideals, creativity, values, norms, religion and institutions are indeed dominant in a white society because they are the inherent treasures bequeathed to us by the Founders. In making their new claim against white supremacy and white society as a whole, minority agitators hope to provoke the Ma-

jority's final downfall. They expect the minds of white Americans to be so thoroughly conditioned that they will give in supinely to all minority demands.

Until whites realize the truth that American society was to have been a white society and act upon this truth by renewing the vigor and supremacy of white institutions, they will continue to surrender their nation, bit by bit, until preachers of the new morality have gained complete control. In that day do not expect Christian mercy to prevail among our new rulers. The new national motto will be *E Pluribus Nil*.

JAY LOCK

---

## Israel at 50


At present there is little pressure to end the perverse, intimate relationship between our elected officials and their alien paramours in the Asian mini-state. The scant opposition to Israel found in Congress is automatically denounced as anti-Semitic and the few voices are usually hounded out of office forthwith. The lack of a well-organized and well-funded group to counterbalance the Judeophilic lobby in Washington is compelling evidence of the protectiveness and power of world Jewry. The personal risk attached to criticizing Israel or anything Jewish is a fact of life in contemporary American politics. Congressmen soon learn that it is much easier to go along with the Zionist agenda than oppose it. Zionists have spared no expense to control U.S. policy in the Middle East.

Today, President Clinton, a Zionist minion, allows our Middle East policy to be formulated by the many Jews he has appointed to high government offices. Many of these appointees were not born or brought up in the U.S.

Though it pledges friendship, Israel is by no means a loyal, dependable ally. Allies don't spy on each other (Jonathan Pollard). Allies don't violate agreements and sell arms and military secrets to enemies and belligerent states (China). Allies don't terrorize each other's citizens (John Demjanjuk). Allies don't intentionally kill each other (*USS Liberty*). True allies don't meddle in each other's internal

affairs and elections.

The pro-Israel crowd praises the Zionist state for being the only democracy in a vast region of Arab despotism. The Arabs may have more than their share of monarchies and restricted liberties, but they are



**David Ben-Gurion**, one of the founding fathers

not as hypocritical as the Jews. The "Israel firsters" ludicrously try to pass Israel off as something that it definitely isn't. David Ben-Gurion, founding father of Israel, is on record as saying, "There is no democracy in this country." Former Israeli President, Chaim Herzog, has come down hard on the Israeli practice of "ignoring the basic precept of democracy that a citi-

zen is innocent unless proved otherwise by a court of law." The "democracy" of Israel has no Constitution, no Bill of Rights and manifests a racially based chauvinism and exclusivism that were universally deplored in Germany's Third Reich. In Israel, Christians are punished if they proselytize. Palestinians and other non-Jews lack basic civil rights and are often controlled through intimidation and terror. Israel, aside from tourists, only welcomes Gentiles if they are willing to do the menial work of the dispossessed Palestinians.

As for the "peace process," it has been a sham from the very beginning. Palestinians will never give up their fight to recover their lost homeland and the Israelis will never give up their rogue state peacefully. The conflict, no matter what the diplomats say, will extend through most of the coming century. The odds are that the dispute will only end by a nuclear war. Israel has the most nukes and the Palestinians, with their Arab allies, have the most geography and demography.

There have been many horrible explosions in the history of the Near East, the home of religious fanaticism. The U.S. will sacrifice everything for Israel. Some Arab and Muslim countries will do the same for their cause. Thinking it over, one might say that the Unholy Land is the sputtering fuse of a bigger and more authentic Holocaust.

347

# Bronfman's Shakedown of Switzerland

If there were a Pulitzer Prize for combining sycophancy with deception in the guise of objective journalism, surely the article on Edgar Bronfman Jr.'s demand for "justice" from the Swiss bankers (Maclean's, June 9, 1997) would win hands down. Instead of investigating and exposing Bronfman's suspiciously belated claim, as incumbent upon a national "news" magazine of record, Maclean's accepted the transparently speculative data without critical analysis nor even a murmur of incredulity.

Significantly the claim originated not with the emergence of new information but with the admittedly facetious, unsubstantiated announcement by Jewish Agency Chairman Avraham Burg in August 1995 that Swiss banks held hundreds of millions of dollars in unclaimed deposits of Holocaust victims. With characteristic chutzpah he demanded that the banks return the money forthwith.

The normally secretive and complacent Swiss bankers, sensing danger, reacted by declaring that they had identified all unclaimed pre-1945 deposits and that the total value of the 775 dormant accounts was not more than \$34 million—an amount that represented deposits by both Jews and non-Jews. Jewish groups worldwide seized on the disclosure as a sign of weakness and demanded not only the \$34 million, but much, much more. Edgar Bronfman, head of the World Jewish Congress, soon took up the cause. He ordered that an interim fund of \$200 million be set up and distributed to "Jewish Holocaust survivors" pending a final settlement. Later he announced that the alleged victims' balances totaled \$7 billion.

There are serious weaknesses in Bronfman's claim. The most obvious is the failure to take into account the extremely acute shortage of foreign exchange that persisted in Germany throughout the 1930s which would have rendered impossible large transfers to Swiss bank accounts. Certainly \$7 billion would have been out of the question, since this amount exceeded Germany's annual foreign exchange earnings at the time, all of which were carefully

conserved for the purchase of vital imports. The German currency was under the control of the Reichsbank, whose President, Dr. Hjalmar Schacht, took extraordinary measures, including strict exchange controls, to stabilize the German mark. As the most astute and successful central banker of his era, he would not have overlooked even minor unauthorized currency transfers.

Less obvious but equally verifiable were the constraints that were placed on Jewish economic activity in Germany (partly in retaliation for the worldwide Jewish boycott of German exports), which in the midst of the Great Depression would have precluded in any case the

accumulation of the huge cash balances allegedly deposited by Holocaust victims. Even in the unlikely event that the Jews had accumulated substantial funds during the early 30s, the major part of German-Jewish wealth was confiscated by huge penalties, fines and other exactions. It is unimaginable that in those conditions the prospective Jewish victims could have earned legitimately and retained the large fortunes allegedly deposited.

The less affluent Jews who remained in Germany at the outbreak of WWII in 1939 (a minority of the former total Jewish population) could conceivably have held Swiss bank accounts but, if so, the balances would not have been large and, in any case, there is no evidence that the owners perished in the concentration camps. On the contrary, there is convincing evidence that



Extortioner Bronfman

many German Jews passed through the war years unmolested and fully integrated into the German war effort. Canadian Jewish author Alan Abrams describes this studiously concealed phenomenon in his book, *Special Treatment* (Lyle Stuart, 1985). Simple observation in Israel, North America, Europe, Russia and South Africa, including many other regions, also demonstrates clearly that a majority of the Jews survived and prospered.

Unless Bronfman can show that the Jews who remained in Germany and the occupied countries: (1) were extraordinarily affluent; (2) were able to acquire large quantities of gold and foreign exchange; (3) were able to



effect transfers into Swiss currency while both Jews and foreign exchange transactions were under the strictest scrutiny; (4) were unable or unwilling to appoint an overseas relative as trustee to look after their affairs in an emergency; (5) were put to death or died before the war's end, he is unlikely to convince anyone familiar with the economic realities of those days, least of all conservative Swiss bankers.

Which is not to say that Bronfman will not prevail. Despite their pre-eminence in international finance, indeed partly because of it, the Swiss banks and corporations are vulnerable and could suffer severely from U.S. or worldwide Jewish sanctions unless they could marshal other threatened Gentile interests to their side in an international counter-boycott. With popular resentment in Switzerland at the boiling point and anti-Israeli (but not anti-Semitic) sentiment at an all-time high in most European nations, countermeasures are by no means unthinkable. Bronfman's victory, by inviting retaliation, might prove costly to his own enterprises. In the long run it could bear bitter fruit for World Jewry.

Perhaps most hazardous to Jews is Bronfman's reference to and reliance on the vast numbers who purportedly perished. If indeed the figure is Six Million (a figure frequently touted as the "most documented statistic in history"), the large number would reinforce his claim. Of the Six Million however, four million were stated to have "perished in the gas chambers at Auschwitz." We now know from the camp records finally released by the Russians that the death toll at Auschwitz was just over 74,000, not all of whom were Jews. Even more awkward is the ubiquity of the so-called "Holocaust Survivors." While portrayed as no more than a tiny remnant of European Jewry, in fact they number at least 4.5 million, if individual claims for compensation filed with the West German government are valid. If the claims are invalid, they constitute the greatest hoax of all time! Scholarly research on Jewish demographics, based on International Red Cross and Jewish statistics, notably that of Walter Sanning, author of *The Dissolution of East European Jewry* (Institute for Historical Review 1983) confirms the thesis that most found safe havens.

In the case of the remaining Jews confined to ghettos, labor and concentration camps, the risk of "extermination" was small. Actually there was more to fear from the Allies than from the Germans, especially in the closing months of the war when, ironically in response to pleas from organized Jewry, the "railway lines leading to the death camps" were bombed, cutting off vital supplies of food and medicines. Most of the Jews who died, including Anne Frank and her sister, were victims of typhus, a virulent plague that would have taken many more lives had it not been for the use of a powerful German-manufactured fumigant, Zyklon B, the same chemical claimed by Holocaust proponents to have been used in the alleged gasing. In fact no verifiable evidence to support the "gas chambers" claim has been uncovered, despite the seem-

ingly limitless literature, media productions and innumerable "eyewitness" accounts.

Absurd as the alleged Jewish financial and human losses may seem to the objective and knowledgeable observer, they have been accepted at face value by Western politicians, educators and even by sophisticated journalists, to say nothing of the ingenuous public. Concomitantly heavily infiltrated Western governments led by venal politicians beholden to Jewish benefactors and manipulated by Jewish advisors have declared the Holocaust an incontrovertible fact that can be questioned only at one's considerable peril, including loss of livelihood and imprisonment. That an accepted "fact" should require such protection seems odd, but no less so than the failure of Winston Churchill to have made even a single reference to the horrendous "gas chambers" (now paradoxically but indisputably the central feature of WWII) in his definitive work on the conflict.

Soon, according to recent news reports, to further enhance the Jewish wartime image of inordinate sacrifice, we shall learn, again with puzzling belatedness, of the heroism of the hitherto unheralded "Jewish fighting man." A special multimillion-dollar gallery to honor him is to be built at the Canadian War Museum. Once again a dubious claim will be accepted by politicians and the media without challenge. Data on actual enlistments by Jews in combat units and on citations for bravery will be suppressed. Honest dissenting research will be ignored or condemned as rabid anti-Semitism.

As for Swiss neutrality, Bronfman is on no firmer ground when he castigates Switzerland for "collaborating" with Nazi Germany. Why wouldn't the Swiss have collaborated? Germany was not Switzerland's enemy. On the contrary it was doubtless seen by most insightful citizens as the only reliable safeguard against communism. And if the Swiss made profits from the war, they were as nothing compared with the windfall pocketed by World Jewry, which conservatively can be placed at half a trillion dollars if the ensuing acquisition of Palestine, postwar "reparations," tax concessions and U.S. aid are included. In light of this reality Bronfman's admonition that, "No one should profit from the Holocaust" has a distinctly hollow ring, as does his appeal to principle, unless he is prepared to apply the same principles in the case of the dispossessed Palestinians. Far from alleviating the plight of the Palestinians, of which he was well aware, the righteous Bronfman, by emboldening their cruel oppressor, has greatly exacerbated it.

Thanks to his bootlegger father and his Seagram liquor monopoly, Bronfman may be a figure of renown, influence and great wealth. He is also a hypocrite, megalomaniac and self-serving opportunist. Swiss bankers were wrong to acquiesce to his arguments and ill-advised to allow themselves to be pressured into negotiations. To do so is to condone extortion. The ancient adage still holds true: "He who sups with the Devil should use a long spoon."

CANADIAN SUBSCRIBER



## Keep Your Eye on Le Pen

**T**he recent electoral results in France have the Establishmentarian multicultural, multiracial and diversity types tied in knots on both sides of the Atlantic. Jean-Marie Le Pen's Front National, a rightist, nationalist and unabashedly French political party, won 15% of the vote. French electoral laws allowed Le Pen's people to horse trade with center-of-the road conservatives to enter as many as five regional contests. Consequently the Front National became a significant part of the French political scene, leaving in the dust its place as a party of "extremists," a fringe band of kooks and Nazis, the political slots in which the leftistist/liberal/Communist Establishment powers that be want to confine it.

Predictably the in-crowd is screaming bloody murder, forecasting the end of the world if Front National types are allowed a foot in the door. Doom, doom, all is gloom! France itself will be destroyed! What of *honneur* and *gloire*? What indeed. The French Establishment has never



Le Pen's getting respectable

hesitated to soil its hands in alliances with the French Communist Party, a gang of criminals and foreign agents that since the 1920s has engaged in every species of treason, murder and sedition. Lionel Jospin, Socialist Prime Minister of France, is well known for

his rabid, hysterical attacks on the Front National. He is "proud" that his party is in a coalition with the Reds. We can take the protests of the French political Establishment for what they are—a stale, moldy baguette.

Le Pen and his people have steadily gained strength for the best of reasons. They are asking the hard questions and providing the hard answers, something that politicians in France, Britain, Germany and, most of all, the U.S., have been avoiding like the Black Death for 50 years and more. High unemployment rates, a stagnant economy and a tidal wave of nonwhite immigration, legal and illegal, have finally penetrated the minds of ordinary Frenchmen. They have discovered that they love their country too much to lose it. The French have come to realize that there are costs associated with allowing your country to

be turned into a café-au-lait mess, with the streets of Paris filled with the decrepit dregs of former colonies. Whole sections of major French cities are home to prowling hordes of swarthy, dangerous young thugs, most of them Algerian Arabs or Africans. Sacred French ways of behavior, such as treating women like human beings, are being turned on their heads by the demands of these arrogant "New Frenchmen" who insist on the right to bring their primitive customs into a modern Western country.

Walk the streets of once incomparable Paris and tell me what you see. Filthy, menacing *noirs* on every corner and the tight, grumpy faces of the French, who are disgusted to discover what their centuries-long policy of "racial tolerance" and race-mixing has wrought. The French never thought twice about jumping into the sack with blacks and allowing their women to do the same. Now they have begun to understand that some of "Dat Old Black Magic" doesn't wash off the morning after.

It is true that Le Pen is not exactly a poster-boy example of a respectable political candidate. A former French paratrooper who served his country well in combat, he has retained some rough edges, including the French talent for infuriating people with snide, sneering turns of the tongue. It comes as no surprise that he displays little sensitivity towards the Chosen. So much the better. We can do with a little less polish and suavity in Western politicians and a little more willingness to speak the truth to the political bosses and anybody else within earshot.

It is vastly amusing to observe the ridiculous posturing of crooked French political manipulators as they attempt to adopt heroic attitudes in opposition to Le Pen. Completely responsible for the logjam France is in, they frantically point the finger at the outsider, Le Pen, as the man to blame! The French people won't be so easily fooled, even if muddle-headed American journalists are.

William Pfaff, a columnist who frequently appears in the International Herald Tribune, the joint N.Y. Times/Washington Post, Paris-based rag, wrote a sniffing editorial the other day, neatly summarizing the Front National as "racist, chauvinist, anti-Semitic, hostile to the European Union, hostile to the political values" of the French Republic. The FN is also anti-American, if by America you mean the America of Norman Lear, Sumner Redstone, Michael Eisner and the rest of that ilk. Pfaff called Le Pen, "a vulgar demagogue." Well, imagine that! Better to have a classy fellow like Bill Clinton, Teddy Kennedy or Chuck Schumer at the helm! Hell, what about Jerry Lewis? I hear the French really think he's funny. Another reason the country has problems.

Pfaff did have the refreshing honesty to add that the

Front National is also a vehicle of popular protest against the unemployment and social deterioration and violence that mainly punish the working class, both immigrant and non-immigrant. It is populist, anti-elitist and anti-Establishment." Precisely, Mr. Pfaff.

The French elite, like the American elite, the British elite, the German elite and all the other elites, is exhausted, discredited, morally bankrupt, effete and coasting rapidly towards degeneracy. They no longer serve any useful purpose. In Europe the elites once had some real title to the name. In our own sorry case, our elites have never been anything but the sons of the greasy, amoral scoundrels who clawed their way up and out of the mire and slime that covered the country in the aftermath of the hecatomb of the Civil War. That, friends, is the ancestry of the men who have steered our once great nation into disaster. Why should you expect anything else from them? Born without character and fallen under bad influences from the time their mothers lifted them from the cradle, they have performed as they were programmed.

The Western Establishment is well aware of the threat of Le Pen. As the Jewish media masters understand all too well, the essential battle is to keep certain ideas totally re-

moved from the discussion. In the West this has been done by drawing political lines that leave certain parties and political philosophies on the outside, forever frozen out of the debate. Arguments over policies and politics must take place in a narrow band of opinion that excludes all "extremist" ideas and individuals.

This system of control functioned well until about the mid-1980s, when the information revolution started to erode the effectiveness of the Establishment barriers. The end of the Cold War, leaving the West free to consider its future without the ominous shadow of the Communist bear hovering over it, struck the system a mortal blow. The growth of national political movements and the rejection of "accepted" limits to political debate has finished it.

Across the West the people are slowly waking from their torpor and reacting with fury and determination to the theft of their birthright by alien minorities in their midst. Naturally this process is weak in this nation at the moment because the Great American Boob is fat, dumb, and happy with his soaring mutual funds accounts and his expensive toys. This, too, shall pass. Only when it does will the boobs listen.

N.B. FORREST

## Jewish Separatism


Nazis and Zionists both regarded Jews as an unassimilable foreign body on German soil. Their solution was to move the Jews out of Germany by shipping them

elsewhere, preferably in the view of the Zionist leaders, to Palestine. The first step was the Transfer Agreement of May 1933, signed two months after Hitler became chancellor. Under its terms approximately 10-15% of Germany's total Jewish population was to be transported to the British Mandate of Palestine with all the Jews' assets, minus an exit tax. It was the first in a long series of mutually conducive arrangements between the Nazis and the founding fathers of the future state of Israel.

The German government set up special training camps for Jews to educate them for agricultural pursuits in Palestine. All Zionist organizations in Germany

were given preference over the liberal, assimilationist Chosen, who wanted Jews to remain and work with the Nazi regime. The Central Confederation of Germans of

The Nuremberg racial laws sought to protect the purity of the German race from Jews. The same laws also sought to preserve the purity of the Jewish people.




Yellow stars Jews were required to wear during the Nazi period in (left to right) Holland, Germany and France

This point was emphasized by Hitler and embraced by the Zionists. It was the Zionist banker, George Kareski, who suggested Jews wear a yellow star. It was the Zionist rabbi, Leo Baeck, who helped the Nazis formulate their definition of a Jew. Even the segregationist Nazis

Jewish Faith viewed Nazism as a movement of national regeneration which German Jews could and should work with. This was not acceptable to the Zionists who regarded relations between Jews and Gentiles as irreconcilable. The Zionist solution to this problem was to segregate all Jews in the future state of Israel.

were willing to compromise on the subject of mixed Jewish-Gentile marriages. Germany under Hitler was full of *mischlings*. The couples were not separated. They were not fired from their jobs. They were not deported to labor camps. They were allowed to function as part of the Nazi system, just like the Aryanized Jews in the Wehrmacht.



### He'll Stick With Us

As the author of a book on the Holocaust question, which I wrote for public school pupils who were being brainwashed in captive audience situations (*The Holocaust/120 Questions and Answers*, 1983), I agree quite strongly that Instauration has not engaged in enough Holocaust deflation, as was pointed out by Zip 199 (May 1998).

Unlike Zip 199, however, I do not have the slightest intention of letting my subscription to Instauration lapse. Although there have been some gaps, the type of information that Instauration furnishes will aid Majority members to survive when the crunch comes.

741

### Lorden Answers His Critics

I'm glad of the attention paid by some readers to my article, "Goldhagen's Gaps" (Feb. 1998). Re Zip 472, it's not true that patriotic German Jews did nothing against Bolshevik agitation after WWI. When the Reds briefly took over Hamburg, banker Max Warburg showed a calm courage in persuading their leaders to act with restraint.

Re Zip 370, I've not read David Irving's book, *Rise and Fall of the Luftwaffe*, but I know that when Himmler went after Milch, Goering angrily replied, "*Wer Jude ist in meiner Luftwaffe, daß bestimme Ich!*" (In my Air Force I decide who is a Jew.) As for Heydrich, I remember reading that Hitler, whenever he was displeased with him, would say, "That's what I get for trusting a Jew!"

Re Mr. Rodbryth's critique, what is it that I'm enjoined to "come clean" about? My purpose was simply to tell a side of things which Goldhagen ignored, and specifically to illustrate Abrams' contention that "much of the damage done to the Jewish community prior to the Holocaust was self-inflicted." I was not endorsing either Goldhagen's death toll or his demonization of the German people. Nor could I have dealt—in an article already too long for some readers!—with the larger questions Mr. Rodbryth raises about responsibility for the war. As to my "gratuitous derogation" of Heydrich, were not the "Reinhard Aktionen" in Poland brutal enough to make him "infamous?" Granted, he was a complex man. The social reforms he introduced as Deputy Protector of Moravia made him so popular that 30,000 mourners packed Wenceslas Square for his funeral!

Re Hitler's character, what sort of man would have the tortured bodies of his "Bomb Plot" enemies preserved for future display? (The Soviets found some kept in a cold room at the Hospital des Invalides.) Sure, Churchill was no angel either—ordering troops in the 1926 general strike to fire on the very men who had endured the horrors of the Western Front and proposing in 1943 that we should drop poison gas on German cities. Maybe scratching any "great

man" would reveal a monster!

Finally, I quite agree with Mr. Rodbryth that it's high time the German people stood up for themselves. I've said so in this magazine. How long will they continue to cower at the feet of the Jewish Lobby? How long will they continue to punish some of their own best people for telling a truth that the Lobby doesn't want told? They—and we all—should be reminded that if it hadn't been for the Germans' heroic resistance on the Eastern Front, the Soviets would have taken all of Europe.

PETER J. LORDEN

### Technology Misused

Freaky was Zip 539's Unabomber-like tirade, "Technology is the Enemy" (May 1998). I'm sure most Instaurationists would agree that, while today we are obviously more technologically advanced, we are certainly becoming more and more culturally retarded. The problem with Zip 539 is his lack of realization that, given a race of highly intelligent beings, technology is inevitable and is one of the defining factors of a superior race. While it's true that food may be overly processed and combustion engines pollute the air, despite all this, what's wrong with the fact that we are living long enough to die of cancer? I also can't understand why Zip 539 knocks the Internet when it has allowed the first true, uncontrolled mass democratization of information ever, the Establishment's nemesis and perhaps one of our most powerful future weapons. Call it fighting fire with fire. The problem is not technology, but the misuse of it. Please, Zip 539, don't throw out the baby with the bath water. A more sensible essay would have been, "The Misuse of Technology."

190

### Odinist Address

The piece on revived Germanic religion, ("A New Old Religion," May 1998) was very informative. Your readers may be interested in knowing that they can contact the Asatru leader quoted in the article by writing to the Asatru Folk Assembly, P.O. Box 445, Nevada City, CA 95959. Web site is <http://www.runestone.org>

ZIPLESS

### Racial Civil War

Instauration's core readership is still wedded to the notion that National Socialist Germany got what it deserved for challenging the Anglo-Saxon imperium. The English-speaking peoples cling to this idea because they secretly know they cooperated with the Jews in the destruction of their own civilization. The crafty Brits and American WASPdom cannot admit that they were tricked into fratricide. Jingoism, and little else, got English-



speaking Nordics to kill German-speaking Nordics for the greater glory of Jewish internationalism. When WASPs find the courage to confront this cosmic insanity, which allowed them to become accomplices in their own downfall, they will see that the real champion of their race in this century was a complex man of humble origin who saw beyond language and into biology.

113

### Stop the Barratry

To a large degree the American people are complicit in the expansion of our litigious society. "There oughta be a law," they say. Well, there is—lots of 'em. And every time a new law is passed, whether it involves civil rights, torts or some other form of regulation, thousands of attorneys toiling for plaintiffs and defendants are guaranteed more work. The solution is to get away from the democratic/totalitarian mindset that every realm of human activity must be regulated, from which it follows that every difference must be litigated. In effect we are no longer a free society. Yet the cry goes up for more laws to girdle more human endeavors. Rare, if ever, are there cries for repeal.

J.H.

### Hope For the Race

I am writing this on May 8 or "VE Day" (a misnomer if there ever was one). I have a thought I'd like to share with all white folks of Northern and Western European extraction. First, I have to say I sincerely believe the dawn will come for our race after so many years of darkness and torpidity. When we once again assert our primacy in our ancestral homelands, I believe we will look back to the above-mentioned date as the single bleakest day in our 8,000-year history. That a Northern European country like Germany, once the greatest nation on the face of this earth, could be stomped so thoroughly into the dust by kindred nations acting directly against their own interests and under the tutelage of self-seeking aliens is indeed a sobering testament to the horrors of intraracial conflict. That millions of Europeans can die in a conflagration of such enormity, where their kind has nothing to gain and everything to lose, is so monumentally disastrous that whites simply cannot come to terms with the full extent of the damage. And bear in mind, these losses are all but forgotten in the West today, while the loss of half a million or so Jews is inflated to 12 times that figure and constantly kept before the public, as if it were the most important event in the history of mankind.

The racial stock sacrificed to the New World Order in WWII from every white nation is irreplaceable, lost to our people and our lands forever. Such a statement is self-evident, yet the white mind continues to conjure up images of such places as Dresden, where at least 100,000 men,

women and children, possibly 250,000, of the best our race had to offer were immolated to satisfy the twisted cravings of a half-mad Brit like "Bomber" Harris. But this does not mean we can't learn from our mistakes and resolve that they will never happen again.

Germany, 53 years after our race's terrible defeat in Central Europe, is a hotbed of miscegenation. With a population of 82 million, at least 7.5 million are foreigners and of these a considerable number are not even of European origin. Countless mulattoes, quadroons, octoroons, Eurasians, demi-mestizos and other assorted hybrids have cropped up in the *Vaterland* since the end of 1945. (A friend who served in the O.S.S. in Europe at that time once told me that the first Negro fetus to be implanted in a German woman was almost certainly conceived within a few minutes of the first black G.I. setting foot on German soil. Without reflecting too much on whether this was the result of rape, prostitution or consensual miscegenation, suffice it to say that it need never have occurred had an ounce of racial common sense been applied. Anthony Eden, for one, recognized the racial dangers implicit in sending Negroes to Europe and pleaded that they be kept out of that theater. FDR overruled him.)

Such a situation is totally unacceptable for our people if we are to survive as a recognizable entity. Let this shabby state of affairs continue in our living space and a century or two from now we will have emulated the passenger pigeon, a gorgeous, intelligent bird that was once so numerous it blotted out the sun. When millions of white people no longer care that their grandchildren or great-grandchildren will be brown and will bear no physical resemblance to them whatsoever, the situation has long since passed the crisis stage.

Let me reiterate my original contention that May 8, 1945 must be forever burned into the consciousness of our race. For that was the culmination of the true Holocaust as well as the day our people and our culture officially began their slide into the sludge-pit of alien-inspired miscegenation and cultural suicide.

The Day of Disaster must never be presented to our people as anything other than a catastrophic watershed.

782

### To Ivan Hild

I dream of an enchanted land  
Not far from where I am,  
A moment of joy along the desert trek;  
Somewhere so sweet and so refined  
That nothing could reduce my bland delight,  
And nothing break this moment  
Where all is now beatitude.  
It is a waking dream  
A bird's quick coursing eye,  
Which marks and passes on.  
I have no time, and there is much to do.

785

## White Ethnocide

A Mexican rap group has a hit song that calls for the murder of whites. On the CD, *Brujeria* (Witchcraft), are the words, *matando geros*, (massacre whites). The merchandiser is Roadrunner Records, a company owned by Sony.

## Murder Inc. Was Jewish

What with all the films about Italian gangsters, the ordinary citizen is likely to forget the Jewish input into organized crime. A new book, *Tough Jews*, by Rich Cohen, claims that the Chosen were practically equal partners with the Mafia. One task of the Jewish mobsters was to act as enforcers, to keep hoodlum strays in line.

As one reviewer of Cohen's opus put it, "For every Anastasia and Luciano in New York, there was a Goldstein and a Reles, a Shapiro, a Strauss, a Louis Lepke and a Meyer Lansky." One tidbit in the book should tickle the curiosity of CNN. Author Cohen states that when Larry Zeiger (a.k.a. Larry King) is in Los Angeles he openly socializes with "retired" Jewish gangsters. A scary segment of the book discusses the horrible way Jewish killers put their victims to death. Cohen didn't discuss the horrible way that Israelis often kill Palestinians.

## Clinton's Trip to Russia

While a Rhodes Scholar at Oxford, the cash-strapped Clinton was able to visit Moscow. To do this, he must have had the support and recommendation of a KGB agent or the recommendation of a professor who was a KGB recruiter. Clinton gained favor with the Communists during his Vietnam protest years in London, during which he was associated with a notorious Communist front organization.

To get a visa to visit the U.S.S.R. during the Cold War, a person had to fill out an application request detailing his whole life, plus information about his relatives, plus a report by the KGB *rezidentura*. Approved on this level, the application then went to the 7th (Tourist) Department of the 2nd Directorate. The request was then forwarded to the 8th Department, KGB Archives, and the operational archives of the Foreign Directorate. Other KGB departments which might have a special interest in the applicant would be notified. If all these departments approved the applicant's request to visit the country, the application then went to Department V

which looked searchingly at people who showed an interest in foreign languages and foreign countries. Some might later be signed up as "sleepers" for future acts of espionage and sabotage. Prime targets were students who publicly protested the war in Vietnam and hindered America's war effort.

To make his trip to the Soviet Union, Clinton, even when he was still wet behind the ears, had to have considerable political and financial support.

## The Presidency

- Whatever they say about Clinton, whatever his eventual fate in the courts, whatever his place in history, he will have difficulty coming out a winner. If nothing else, when he recalls his sordid love life, he must have at least a few twinges of conscience. Chelsea can hardly avoid reading, hearing and pondering about the past and present doings of her pater familias.

- Jokes about the President have set a record low in obscenity. Jay Leno serves up a nightly stew of X-rated, anti-Clinton humor. Some of the nation's most liberal newspapers, usually the bedrock of Democratic Party ideology, cannot resist slipping in salacious comments about the most private part of his private parts. In Europe he has become an object of ridicule and derision.

- Clinton's popularity in the polls merely proves that Americans have sunk to his level of tastelessness. Instead of being ashamed of having someone like Clinton at the head their government, tens of millions of Americans eagerly glom onto the latest tabloidish revelation and can't wait for more. They not only pardon Clinton for his sins; they make Independent Counsel Kenneth Starr the heavy.

- If Nixon had been a Democrat, he would have served out his second term with minimal complications. Being a Demo, Clinton is a member of a party that circles the wagons when screw-ups hit the fan. It's probable that when the scandal finally cools down, Clinton will still be lounging about the Oval Office. The Democrats in Congress and a large number of fair-weather Republicans will protect him from any serious harm. Even if Lewinsky should start to yodel, no jury with an obligatory quota of Negroes would convict him.

- Bubba's two latest amours: (1) a female Princeton student who was bom-

barded with job offers by the White House after she danced briefly with the President; (2) a 32-year-old New York City officerette who, after being glimpsed by Clinton on a trip to the UN, was approached by three Secret Service agents who handed her confidential White House telephone numbers.

- As is painfully evident, Clinton works hand in glove with Jews in the White House. How could he not, since they occupy so many top-ranking positions in his administration? Clinton works side by side with Jews but he also plays with them, as we have learned from Monica Lewinsky. This summer the family again takes their vacation in the multimillion-dollar Martha's Vineyard mansion of real estate speculator Thomas Friedman.

- For someone who claims to be so worried about his legacy, it would behoove Clinton to tame his satyriasis. His perennial skirt-chasing will surely deprive him of a respectable spot in the annals of the presidency. How can Americans forgive a politician who has removed the last shred of pride and dignity from an office that was once the seedbed of statesmen on the order of George Washington and Thomas Jefferson?

- A kudo richly deserved by Clinton was the honorary degree conferred on him by the Hebrew University of Jerusalem.

- Guess who is the author of the first book on the Clinton-Lewinsky affair? Yosef Abramowitz, president of the Union of Counsels for Soviet Jewry. Its title: *Beyond Scandal: the Parents' Guide to Sex, Lies and Leadership*.

## White Scum

The white trio, whose pickup truck dragged Negro James Byrd Jr. to his death in Jasper (TX), committed the kind of gruesome crime that the media like to blow up into a Miltonian conflict between Good and Evil. Satan, of course, can hardly be compared to the drunken, penny ante slob that did in Byrd, who, by the way, is no angel, having spent years of his life in and out of jail. All three whites also served time. Byrd, by the way, abandoned his three children.

Yes, it was a race crime, but whereas Byrd was a typical member of his race, the white criminals were the bottom scrapings of the Caucasian gene pool. Attending Byrd's funeral was the town mayor, who happens to be black, and such black dignitaries as Jesse Jackson and Rep. Al Sharpton (D-NY). A considerable number of the Negro mourners showed up with guns. White mourners, including a sprinkling of Klansmen, came unarmed.





## Rough Jew

The latest luminary on the wrestling circuit is Bill Goldberg, who grunts and groans under his real name and brazenly claims his profession is on the level. In the course of his career Goldberg boasts he has broken the ribs of opponents and "tore a guy's knee out." His favorite trick, as he describes it, is "basically holding a guy upside down straight in the air, and, when the time is right, I drop him right on his back—and he doesn't get up from that."

## Black Anti-Semite

Whether or not it was true—that he said "Jews were like skunks"—Dr. Leonard Jeffries, professor of black studies at the City University of New York, was banned from



Nutty professor

from speaking at a student meeting in Staten Island. Many of his muzzlers were ACLU supporters. Jeffries preaches that whites are "ice people" and blacks "sun people." Rather than shut him up, his critics should encourage him to broadcast his spiel more widely. His self-damning words are his best critics.

## Dramatic License

• Terrence McNally, a well-known playwright who has to be a homo, has written a play in which a character similar to Jesus is asked by Pontius Pilate, "Aren't thou King of the Queers?" Elsewhere in the play the Jesus stand-in has sex with his disciples.

• A Jewish dramatist in Chicago, Peter Sagal, has written a play, *Denial*, based loosely on Arthur Butz's revisionist classic, *The Hoax of the Twentieth Century*. The lead character is, like Butz, a professor of engineering. Surprisingly he is presented as a person of some intelligence who gives as good as he gets during long, wrenching discussions about free speech and the Six Million. Although almost all the other parts are played by Jews who

demonstrate a palpable hatred for historical revisionists, the Butz character has the rare chance to pound home that the Holocaust story is riddled with holes. At the finale he is savaged by a dramatic ploy that calls for the total suspension of belief.

## Change of Heart

David Cole, the young, bushy-tailed Jew who said he disbelieved in the Six Million, took along a movie camera to record his inspection of Auschwitz. While there, he also filmed an iconoclastic interview with the Pole in charge of the camp. Some months ago Cole suddenly changed his entire story and apologized to Jews and Jewish organizations for his apostasy. The best explanation for this turnabout is the "pressure" put on him by Jewish semigangsters in Los Angeles. They let it be known that if Cole didn't mend his ways he was likely to have an accident.

## More Liars, More Lies

If the New Republic can print 27 pieces partly or wholly made up by a hotshot young Jewish "reporter," Stephen Glass, what does it say about the credibility of American Zionism's favorite magazine of opinion? It's a good bet that the discovery of the fiction inherent in so many of Glass's articles will not hurt the magazine's circulation. Glass is not the only writer for the New Republic who has lied. Practically every article about Israel, the magazine's dreamland, is loaded with mendacity and Jewish racism. Maybe, just maybe, some WASP subscribers, who lap up every word in the New Republic, will stop for a moment and have second thoughts about the journal's accuracy and credibility.

Another minorityite who decided it was easier to invent the news than report it is black Patricia Smith, the recently fired



Inventive columnist

Boston Globe columnist. Her literary penchant was to dress up her writing with

quotes she attributed to people who either did not exist or who never said what Ms. Smith said they said. Smith, 42, was headed for a Pulitzer Prize and had already won the Distinguished Writing Award for Commentary from the American Society of Newspaper Editors for a 1997 column.

The press reports of Glass's and Smith's flimflam were handled in what might be described in a "delicate" manner. The media as a whole are often guilty of the same literary crimes. Many Glasses and Smiths are out there, some of them occupying the highest editorial posts in the land. The Boston Globe, incidentally, is owned by the N.Y. Times. Matthew Storrin, the Globe's editor, is married to a Japanese, Keiko Takita. The couple has a child, Kenyatta, named after Jomo Kenyatta, the African Negro politician who was closely associated with Mau Mau goons.

Ms. Smith's transgressions at the Globe were not her first. While working for the Chicago Sun-Times in the 1980s, she reviewed an Elton John concert she didn't bother to attend. She wasn't fired, possibly because it's not a good idea to fire a Negro these days—any Negro.

Smith's contretemps indicates there may be a gene for lying in Negro female reporters and columnists. It wasn't too long ago that Janet Cooke wrote a long screed for the Washington Post about a child heroin addict. It was all a figment of her imagination.

Although she has been let go, Smith is not likely to suffer too greatly. Jewish agit-propeller Alan Dershowitz has come to her rescue by insinuating that Boston's leading columnist, Mike Barnicle, is as loose-penned as Smith. At the same time, the Boston liberal establishment let it be known that Smith's heart is very much in the right place. She is an ardent defender of Hispanics, the poor, women and AIDS carriers. No doubt we will be hearing more about her in the future. She has already announced that she will not go gently into obscurity.

The June howler of the month award went to CNN for an egregiously false report that U.S. Special Forces used sarin, a nerve gas, in the Vietnam War. Two producers and some smaller fry were canned, but Rick Kaplan, the high CNN muckety-muck who promoted the tale, was kept on. After all he is a racial cousin of the bosses of Time Warner who bought the "fourth network" from Ted Turner. Nothing could be counted on to lower the morale of the Armed Forces more thoroughly than accusations of resorting to chemical warfare. But this could have been precisely the intention of the falsifiers.

## Cultural Catacombs



### Who Came First?

For defining Kennewick Man, the 9,300-year-old skeleton found on the banks of the Columbia River in Washington State, as a type of Caucasoid, archaeologist James Chatters has come under a vicious racial attack from American Indian tribes. Their claim to be the first people in America is now being seriously challenged. If a white man came to the New World at about the same time the first Indians claimed to have arrived, the previously accepted chronology of discovery and settlement will have to be revised. Desperately afraid of losing their primacy, the Indians are calling on the courts to forbid any further examination of the bones. They want them buried quickly and permanently. If whites came to America so early, as a few scientists now believe, then they came long before the advent of Christianity. Accordingly some American Odinists, who believe their faith might be an outgrowth of Kennewick Man's, decided to consecrate the remains. Needless to say, the Clinton administration jumped into the dispute—on the side of the Indians, naturally.

### Monos vs. Bilinguals

A decisive majority of California voters voted 61 to 39 to end bilingual education in the state. Once again the voice of the people was heard across the land. As expected, the bilinguals are planning to take the monolinguals to court and at least 1,500 bilingual teachers have said they will defy the new law. Once again the liberals, who claim they believe in democracy, are determined to thwart the public will. Before Californians are too swept away by their election victory, however, they might consider that different languages keep different people apart. Learning English does not change a Latino's race. Enemies who speak your language are more dangerous than those who don't.


### Jeopardy Forever

Double jeopardy is alive and well in the Deep South. Sam Bowers, a 73-year-old ex-KKK honcho, was "re-arrested" in Hattiesburg (MS) in May and charged with masterminding a murder and arson attack in 1966 that left a Negro civil rights leader dead. The jury split in the first and second trials, which should have ended the criminal proceedings. Now more than 30 years later a lap-dog prosecutor will try

Bowers for the third time. The moral here is that, if you fail to reach a verdict in two trials, take a lesson from Robert Bruce's spider and try again. It used to be one trial for one crime. If a black is involved, two or three trials and a civil action are in the offing.

### Tubal Birth

Sara Oliver, the lady who gave birth to a baby on the Internet, is not much of a lady. Truth to tell, she is a former jailbird, inveterate check bouncer and an intermittent crack addict. Her alleged husband, a Latino, first name Gilberto, has a voluminous rap sheet and is not really her spouse. There is no record of any divorce



Exhibitionist Mom

from an earlier wife. The most-watched childbirth in history was evidently pulled off to avoid obstetric bills.

The rush for sensational news leaves little time for checking the bonafides of showboaters like the Olivers. When TV extends the same cavalier treatment to hard news it gives to gossip and scandal, then it is time for couch potatoes to rise up from their couches and cry, "Enough!"

### Fifth Columnist?

It's not generally known that Kenneth Starr has a Jewish wife. It's even less known that one of his three top assistants in the everlasting Whitewater investigation is Solomon Wisenberg, who heads Starr's Washington office.

### Death of a Rapist

As Newsweek's obit reported, Eldridge Cleaver, "a thief, a drug dealer and a rapist," passed away in May. The more crimes he committed, the more he was deified by fellow black and white insurgents. In his *apologia pro vita sua*, *Soul on Ice*, Cleaver gloated over raping white women. After serving a nine-year sen-


tence for assault, he joined the Black Panthers. While awaiting trial for indulging in some gunslinging, he decided it was time to head for the hills of the Old World, where he spent years traipsing about Algeria, France and Cuba. He returned to the States in 1975 as a born-again Christian, eventually becoming a Reaganite. The opportunistic switch didn't save him from being arrested in a cocaine sweep a few years later.

### R.I.P. Goldwasser

"Extremism in the defense of liberty is no vice; moderation in the pursuit of justice is no virtue." Also sprach Zionist Barry Goldwater in 1964. Despite all his conservative trimmings, the descendant of Polish Jews never once supported the Majority racial backbone of the U.S. or meaningfully decried its decaying social structure. An early advocate of the racial integration of the Armed Forces, he "me too-ed" Lyndon Johnson in his support of civil rights. In his September years Goldwater was not opposed to welcoming homosexuals into the U.S. military. Such an attitude was hardly consistent with true conservatism. Barry Goldwater's life was conclusive proof that a Jew can be anti-American, even while flying the flag and Air Force jets.

### New Mag

The first four people on the masthead of the new magazine, Brill's Content, are Jewish. Steven Brill is listed as editor and publisher; Michael Kramer, editorial director; Joan Friedman, managing editor;



Amy Burnstein, one of two executive editors. Brill puffs his new journal as an honest, open and above-board publication, though he himself concealed his financial contribution to the Democratic Party. To ensure he would get the maximum publicity for his new venture, he launched a slashing attack on Independent Counsel Starr.

## Primate Watch



Noxious Niner **Ruth Bader Ginsburg** confessed she had failed to recuse herself from two cases involving companies in which her husband held stock. By failing to do so she violated federal law. It is most doubtful, however, she will serve any time.

Pittsburgher **Vincent Spik**, 37, bludgeoned to death his five-year-old twins, Robert and Emily, with a sledgehammer. He griped that they were too slow in getting ready for daycare. Spik may or may not be a Jew, but he's certainly not a WASP.

**Allen Schneider**, a real estate Midas who helped turn Long Guyland's once stylish Hamptons into a Jewish reservation, choked to death in 1991 on a piece of sirloin steak. As Steve Gaines described him in his new book, *Philistines at the Hedge-row*: "[Schneider] was in triple denial. He denied he was Jewish, that he was gay and that he was an alcoholic. . . ."

Three Jewish women in Dallas have charged that the now retired **Rabbi Max Zucker**, highly respected in local Hebrew circles, molested three girls 30 years ago when they were 11, 12 and 13. Zucker admits he made "a mistake" back then, but couldn't remember any other indecencies.

If he was released from jail where he was serving a life sentence for strangling his wife, the stripper-obsessed **Craig Rabinowitz** said he would run directly to the arms of his Philadelphia ecdysiast on whom he had spent \$100,000.

Songster **Paula Cole**, blue-eyed, fetching, talented, is white, but adds, "In my soul I am more African than I am a white Yankee." When Majority women prefer melanoids to their own kind, we are really on the skids.

**Pedro Cruz Muniz** raped and killed a white college coed 21 years ago. He was quickly caught and assigned to a Texas prison Death Row. It was not until May 8, 1998, that he finally got the needle.

The most lickspitting, Judeophilic fundamentalist preacher of them all is **Rev. John Hagee**. At a "Night to Honor Israel" gala in San Antonio in May, Hagee, after raising an undisclosed amount of money for the emigration of Russian Jews to Israel, lambasted Catholics and Protestants for their historic anti-Semitism. An atten-

dant Jewish columnist declaimed, "Without ancient Israel, the United States would not exist."

**Brian Stewart** of Columbia (IL), not wanting to pay child support for his four-year-old son, injected him with HIV-tainted blood. In no time the child came down with AIDS. It was a breeze for Stewart, who is a phlebotomist, a specialist in drawing blood. In the old days he would have been called a vampire.

For half a year they starved the four-year-old, beat her, burned her with a hair dryer and hung her from a hook in a closet. They then threw her in a bathtub full of scalding 148° water. Death mercifully saved the little girl from more torture. A jury recommended that her guardians, her uncle and aunt, **Ivan and Veronica Gonzales** of Chula Vista (CA), be given the death penalty.

The father of an Iranian family in Torrance (CA) drove his wife so far up the wall that she jumped out of a 10th-floor window with her three-year-old daughter in her arms. The toddler died, but the mother survived—or at least that's what the media reported.

**Judge Jack Weinstein** gave two homosexual drug dealers reduced sentences because he feared for their lives in prison. In more than a few jails it is the straights who fear for their lives from homos.

Is cold food unconstitutional? That's what **Bruce Shore**, an Orthodox rabbi jailed for burglary, sued to find out. After he complained he wasn't getting kosher food, prison authorities switched his diet to bananas, raw broccoli and Ensure, which his lawyer described as "a draconian liquid supplement." A federal appeals court is expected to rule on the matter.

Considered the world's greatest art dealer, **Frank Lloyd** died in April. He once bought an ugly piece of non-art by fellow Semite Mark Rothko for \$18,000 and two years later tried to sell it for \$350,000. "I collect money not art," is how Lloyd described his modus operandi. That Lloyd, who said his parents died in Auschwitz, wielded such power and influence over modern art explains why modern art is rotten to the core.

Four teenagers were arrested for burning a six-foot cross on the lawn of a Jew-

ish family's home in Huntington Beach (CA). The mastermind of the "hate crime" was the 15-year-old son of the Jewish family, who was angry at his parents for not allowing him to go to a beach party.

He killed nine women, but that didn't stop black **Henry Louis Wallace**, 33, from marrying a Latino nurse in her mid-50s in the Central Prison of Raleigh (NC), where he awaits his execution.

**Albert Einstein**, the pacifist guru whose letter to FDR got the A-bomb project rolling, had a late-blooming romance with a lady, **Margarita Komenkova**, who turned out to be a Russian spy. The media was quick—very quick—to point out that Bombfather knew nothing of his beloved's line of business.

It is a daunting task to find a high-level **Negro pol** in Dade County (Miami) who has not been charged with a roster of crimes, ranging from bribery to vote fraud. To escape the heat, blacks are resorting to the old ploy that they are being set up because of their race.

A tear-jerking tale told for years by **James Hood**, the first black to be accepted by the University of Alabama, was his "eyewitness" account of watching the KKK hang and burn his uncle. Last May, Hood finally admitted he had made the whole thing up.

An Orthodox rabbi in Brooklyn was convicted of having oral sex with his 14-year-old daughter. No names were released in order to protect the daughter's anonymity. Despite the repulsive crime, local rabbis came out strongly for the defendant.

**Lee Vang**, 13, of Eau Clair (WI) wanted to get rid of her newborn son in the worst way. She killed him in a YWCA bathroom by bashing in his skull and stuffing toilet paper down his mouth. The child's body was found in two paper bags in the ladies' room's garbage can.

The Gypsy parents of a 14-year-old girl sold her for \$11,000 to a couple in Fresno (CA). After she had a miscarriage the couple resold her to a family in Tucson for \$5,000.

The New Jersey Chosenite who suffocated his daughter, 12, and son, 10, because he feared his divorced wife, who converted to Catholicism, would bring them up as Christians, has gone to his reward. **Ari Kostner's** death by cancer cut short his two 30-year prison sentences.

## Talking Numbers

1.83 71 12 100.013 .03% -43 31425 7

In 1996-97 Israeli soldiers killed 45 Palestinian children and wounded 585.

Psychologists tell us that only hunger is a greater drive than sex. Accordingly, Florida taxpayers are shelling out \$10 Viagra pills at the rate of 4 a month to males who claim they are impotent.

The 2.6 million-member Presbyterian Church (USA) is beating the bushes to raise its minority contingent, now 5%, to 10% by the year 2005. Currently the church is losing 3,000 members per month. 7% of the membership is black, Hispanic and Asian. Last year 22,000 Presbyterians dropped out.

At present Ken Starr has 28 lawyers working for him on the Whitewater-Lewinsky investigations. His 4 top attorneys pull down \$118,400 per year. One of them happens to be Jewish. So far the investigation has cost more than \$35.5 million. Will Starr ever get off the dime?

42% of the 1,398 blacks in the freshman classes at the University of California (Berkeley) from 1987 to 1990 failed to graduate—a failure rate 2½ times that of whites.

Every 27 minutes someone in the U.S. is murdered; 70 cars are stolen; 125 homes burglarized; something is swiped from 405 offices. (U.S. News & World Report, May 23, 1998)

90% of newborn males in the U.S. were circumcised in 1968. Today the percentage is down to 64%. In most Western European nations it is 5% to 8%. 3,300 of these obscene operations are performed daily in the U.S. One more barbaric practice we picked up from Jews.

28% of Catholics in Northern Ireland say they would marry a Protestant, 24% a black. Only 14% of Protestants would be willing to wed a Catholic, roughly the same percentage that would marry almost any kind of nonwhite.

There are two conclusions that can be drawn from Clinton's recent efforts to ameliorate race relations. Either Americans are nuts or somebody's lying. A recent Gallup poll claimed that 35% of Americans think or say they think that race relations are "somewhat good." 6% actually say they're "very good." A staff of 35 is working on a race relations report

promised by Clinton before the end of the year. The tab to taxpayers: \$9.8 million.

Currently the U.S. has slapped sanctions of one sort or another on more than 70 countries, two-thirds of the planet's population. Congress is now considering some 30 additional sanctions bills. In 1992 alone, sanctions set the U.S. economy back \$15 to \$19 billion.

17 of the 100 children born in the U.S. are—to use an unkind word—bastards. 48% have parents who will divorce before the child is 18. Only 12% of the kids will grow up in homes watched over by parents whose marriages will remain intact. 85% of juveniles in prisons come from fatherless homes.

In a worldwide survey of press freedom by the National Fatherhood Institute, the Chinese press was designated as "least free." The German press the most free, even though scores if not hundreds of Germans are in jail for writing "incorrectly" about the Holocaust and race.

A list of the 12 most eleemosynary moguls in the U.S. in 1997 revealed that half or so were Jews. The editor of the Philanthropy Journal is—no surprise—Ted Cohen.

An initiative in California requires 433,269 signatures to get on the ballot. A proposed change in the state's constitution needs 643,230. Pros charge \$250,000 to collect enough names to get an initiative on the ballot; \$300,000 for the number of names required to get a state constitutional change on the ballot.

In a study of 520 murder cases, 95 blacks were sentenced to death, compared to 19 death sentences handed out to 147 white murderers. Black leaders attribute this discrepancy to "racism." More accurately it should be blamed on blacks' predisposition to violence and to the fact that they kill at a rate 3 or 4 times that of whites.

As of now, the two richest members of the Noxious Nine are Jews. Ruth Bader Ginsburg has a net worth estimated to be as high as \$24.1 million; Stephen Breyer, \$15.9 million.

Although Israel with its \$98 billion GNP can be considered a rich nation, the U.S. continues to give it \$3 billion-plus a year. A half-hearted move by some con-

gressmen proposed that the non-military annual tribute (\$1.2 billion) be cut by 10%. But as generally happens in matters concerning Israel there is a catch. The Zionist state wants the military part of the payoff not only to be retained but raised by half the amount taken away from the economic part.

Total Japanese holdings in the U.S. amount to \$500 to \$600 billion. The Bank of Japan owns \$200 billion worth of U.S. bonds.

105 nonblack police in Miami were awarded \$2 million by a judge to compensate them for lost promotions and pay raises when affirmative action was riding high.

Some 500,000 murderers are walking around the streets of the U.S. Many have served their time and been released. Most have not been nabbed. So estimates Princeton criminologist John Dilulio.

Larry Klayman, a super-litigious shyster, is suing his own mother, Shirley Feinberg, for \$40,000. He said she owes him that much for helping to care for his dying grandfather.

The State of California gave \$900,000 to the Simon Wiesenthal Center's Museum of Tolerance in Los Angeles to set up a Web site. Somehow when it involves Jews, religion and state are seamlessly mixed with only a modicum of criticism.

300,000 aliens now in some form of custody cost American taxpayers \$6 billion a year.

At last report 23% of the 1,461,000 interracial couples in the U.S. were black/white; 27% white/Indian; 43% white/Asian; 6% black/Asian/other.

As a fundraising ploy for his foundation, Tiger Woods auctioned off the honor of going 18 holes with him on a Florida golf course. The anonymous winning bid was \$95,000.

During WWII the U.S. government moved 2,200 Latin Americans, most of Japanese ancestry, from Peru to California where they were dumped into a concentration camp. If any of these kidnapped folk can be found, they will now get \$5,000 to recompense them for their suffering, plus an official apology. Interned Japanese-American citizens and residents in the U.S. were given \$20,000 each for their suffering.



## Waspishly Yours

The depth charge against Saddam Hussein is that he is a madman who has used poison gas against his "own" people (i.e., Kurds who hate his guts and have been fighting for freedom since before those multicultural diplomats at Versailles curdled them into the hemophiliac melting pot they have been simmering in ever since).

But do you know who killed thousands of Kurds with poison gas, even before Saddam was born, even before he was the glint of a knife-point in his papa's eye? Do you know who ruthlessly killed thousands of Kurds, as compared to the measly handful of notches Saddam has on his gun handle? Do you know whose WWI generals came to him complaining about the indiscriminate killing of Kurds through the use of poison gas?

Guess who laid the groundwork for so much of the turmoil in the Middle East by arbitrarily drawing lines on a map one sunny afternoon? Guess who created Kuwait by carving it out of Iraq, because he wanted to convert his WWI warships from coal to oil, and knew he'd need a death-grip on the Persian Gulf as a source of supply and places to refuel? So who poison-gassed the Kurds into submission because they were fighting for freedom and independence?

You guessed it! Winston Churchill, that great (5' 2") strategist and humanist who also succeeded in butchering more "blonds" than the Bubonic plague, destroying the British Empire in the process. And who else but the Brits created the modern version of a concentration camp, in their war (1899-1902) against the Boers in South Africa?

Meanwhile how do we know that Saddam cannot be trusted? Didn't the Oslo accords assume that the Jews could be trusted not to meddle in East Jerusalem? Didn't we solemnly assure Arafat that we could be trusted to protect his defenseless people in Sabra and Shatila, if his fighters withdrew from West Beirut? Didn't the American sailors on the *U.S.S. Liberty* trust the Jews, even wave to the Jewish jets roaring by on "reconnaissance runs," lining up their guns before murdering 34 Americans and blasting the ship into speechless diplomatic limbo?

Yes indeed, Saddam cannot be trusted. But who encouraged the Hungarian revolt in 1956 and then refused to help? Who encouraged the Kurds to revolt in 1991 after the Gulf War and then refused to help? Saddam is not the only one who can't be trusted.

Saddam can't be trusted because of his "proclivity" to lie and use "weapons of mass destruction," said stealth-Jew Madeleine Albright in Columbus. What would you call suddenly "discovering" your Jewish roots, after having been named secretary of state? Trust? Would Clinton's "bimbo eruptions" point to an adulterous "proclivity" for the clitoris?

Did Saddam really gas the Kurds or could that rape have been consensual? Has he ever been deposed by Ken Starr upon this point? If Kathleen Willey gives the White House the willies, did Monica Lewinsky wear out her presidential knee pads or her welcome mat at the White House first? Don't we know that slick Willie is guilty, just as we know that daffy Gaddafi bombed Lockerbie, and not Syria or Iran? Yeah. But why?

Because of proclivity? . . . Proclivity!. . . But who used the atom bomb, not once but twice? . . . Proclivity? . . . How many times has Israel invaded its "neighbors," after it stole the country in 1948? . . . Proclivity? . . . How many vicious acts of terrorism were thematic to the theft? . . . Proclivity?

Who invaded Lebanon in 1979, 1982 and 1996? Who is still occupying the southern part of the country? Who has demonstrated a proclivity to assassinate its critics and foes? Who murdered an Arab waiter in Norway (married to a Norwegian), who turned out to be the wrong target of the infallible Mossad? Who murdered the Palestinian-American, Alex Odeh? Who recently botched an attempted murder of a Hamas mogul in Jordan? And wasn't it blubbergut Arik Sharon who later said that the next time they would get it right?

Proclivity!

Who has told a lava stream of lies in order to burn and freeze the Oslo peace process? Who has hardened that stream of lies into stone, despite the opposition of the world community?

Who has ignored innumerable UN resolutions, while Clinton IQueues up his smart bombs in a dumb attempt to Down's-syndrome Saddam into slobbering compliance, into slouching out of history and life, at least into retarding his production of "weapons of mass destruction."

What made my jaw really drop was when Choseness Madeleine Albright looked straight into the camera and declared to the world and the raucous crowd of educated skeptics in Hicksville, Ohio:

I'll bet you any amount of money that we [Clinton's Chosenite administration? The three hand-picked Chosenites on stage?] care more about the children of Iraq than does Saddam Hussein.

So what I really want to know, Madam Secretary, is this: Do "we" care as much about American children, as "we" do about the children of Israel? And how would the Chosenites of Tel Aviv feel (*mirabile dictu*) if "we" felt as much about their children as "we" do about the children of Iraq?

V.S. STINGER



The Peabody Award this year was given to Nate Thayer, a Thailand-based journalist who sold a program about the late Pol Pot to ABC's *Nightline*. Strange to say, Thayer rejected the award, accusing ABC and Ted Koppel of virtually stealing his work.

Ted Koppel and *Nightline*. . . took credit for it, trivialized it, refused to pay me and then attempted to bully and extort [sic] me when I complained. They should not be rewarded for this behavior and I under no circumstances want my name associated with these egregious violations of basic journalistic ethics and integrity.

Despite this lapse Howdy Doody Koppel maintains his reputation, with the active help of fellow Jews, as one of the great television personalities of our time.

Glad tidings! Bryant Gumbel of CBS's *Public Eye* program, for which he gets 5 megabucks a year, will not be seen this fall. The ratings were abysmal. Network executives are frantically looking around for some other spot for their prize liberaloid black.

CNN, which is trying to go big-time, has raised the recompense for Larry King to \$7 million a year. One wonders if King has ever gotten around to paying off the people who lost a great deal of money when he welshed on his debts and went bankrupt years ago in south Florida.

Geraldo was honest enough to deride Johnnie Cochran for playing the race card in the Simpson murder trial. Now that he and Cochran both have shows about the functions and malfunctions of the law and now that Geraldo is putting on his first NBC news special, the black and half-black decided to smoke the peace pipe.

The three most vulgar vulgarians on TV are:


*Roseanne*, who is returning to the boob tube with a 5-day-a-week talk show this fall. (In the process of getting a divorce from her umpteenth husband, her onetime bodyguard, Ben Thomas, Roseanne has moved into her new \$7.9-million, nine-bedroom, three-acre estate in Beverly Hills.)

*Jerry Springer*, who recently made bestiality the theme of one of his scurrilous shows. Springer's baleful influence on the nation's morals and mores was dramatically displayed when four sixth-grade girls in a New York City high school spat at, punched and kicked their teacher when she refused to turn on a Springer creation, *Bisexual Relationships Hurt Married Couples*.

Last but not least, *Howard Stern*, who is starting a late-night TV show on CBS stations.

Is it no coincidence that all three of these bottom feeders are Jews or, in the case of Stern, half-Jewish. That all are members of the same tribe is a topic that cries out for study. It has to be more than happenstance that the 2%-3% not only dominate TV behind the scenes but openly dominate its lower depths.

It is unhealthy for a culture to be taken over by aliens. It is a disaster when these aliens use their vast power and influence to cheapen and vulgarize their cultural booty.



It is difficult to determine which of the three culture vultures mentioned above is the worst. Roseanne, who is both physically and mentally ugly, speaks ugly words churned out for her by other Chosenites. Jerry Springer, in his role as producer, is directly responsible for the filth he sprays into the air waves.

Stern is probably the most disgusting because he is by far the cleverest. He does the most damage of the three to what might be called the Majority's moral balance. To drive home this point, when Stern was asked at a press conference what kind of ratings he could expect for his upcoming TV show, he answered, "V" for vagina. He later pointed to a female gofer who sashayed about in the garb of a prostitute. The maestro proffered, "She will fellate anyone who gives a good write-up on the show." On one of his radio stints Stern distastefully discoursed on actress Kim Basinger:

I just want to tie her to a bed naked. . . take that. . . body, put tape over her mouth, and do things to her. . . Then I break her legs and position them in the back of her head so she's sitting. and. . . we let them knit and mend.

Infinity Broadcasting Company has already had to shell out \$1.7 million in fines for Stern's sewer mouth. Alfred Sikes, who was head of the Federal Communications Commission when the fines were levied, was the chief target of Stern's wrath. When he heard Sikes had prostate cancer, the self-proclaimed shock jock effused, "I pray for his death."

*From Satcom Sal.* Last night, per custom, I watched *60 Minutes*. The lead segment was an interview in Iran with one of that country's leading filmmakers about his most recent production, *Sand Storm*. The reporter, unknown to me, not one of the show's regulars, asked him, "Isn't it really childish [her exact word] to keep hammering away at the hostage incident and not move on to some new event?" I cannot adequately describe to you my agony of frustration at not being able to suggest that she pose the same question to Steven Spielberg about his next "Holocaust" epic.

# Notes from the Sceptred Isle—John Nobull

I'm looking for a book that came out soon after WWII and was written by an Englishman. It was entitled, *Random Thoughts of a Fascist Hyena*. "Fascist hyena" was then a standard Communist epithet for anyone who opposed socialism. Perhaps some reader of *Instauration* can write and tell me where to get a copy?

"Fascist" was a standard designation at the British Foreign Office, which was overrun with Communist agents. A journalist called Peregrine Worsthorne was then employed at the British Embassy in Washington. He once met ex-President William Howard Taft, who argued that the Republicans were much more friendly to the British Empire than the Democrats were. Worsthorne relayed this message to the Embassy, and was told to have no further dealings with "that fascist." In such fashion the British Empire went down the drain. Democrats and KGB agents saw to that.

But to our onions, as they say. I am arguing that on a local level other English-speaking peoples have a lot to learn from the British. To be sure, the progenitor of the LOCAL EXCHANGE TRADING SYSTEMS (LETS) was a hippie-like Canadian character, but LETS have now spread all over southern England. There may be as many as 400 of them now. In Canada there are only a few, but then Canada is a servile state which belongs to Mr. Bronfman. Between WWI and WWII it was the centre of Social Credit, originated by C.H. Douglas in England. The prime minister of Alberta, William Aberhart, trying to distribute the assets of his province to its people, was blocked by the Canadian Supreme Court. During the 1980s, I heard a prominent lawyer in British Columbia describe the Social Crediters as "fascists." The Reform Party has now betrayed its Social Credit tradition.

There are similar "barter dollar" systems in the United States, though, I believe, they are less developed than in Britain. The most successful one so far is at Ithaca, New York.

The LETS cannot be compared with the Aryan Nations. They are not based upon religion and are not overtly racist. However, they just don't work in immigrant areas, where no one can trust anyone and remarkably few nonwhites wish to join them. There is a catch in LETS, you see. Every participant has to offer either goods or services. Otherwise, he just has to drop out. It is not a welfare system, except in some cases where local people are benefited. So LETS are indirectly racist, which is what matters. Also, they are so deeply ingrained in the economic life of the local people that I doubt very much whether they can be eradicated without a revolution. I am not joking. LETS cut right across the political spectrum. In Spain, for example, many anarchists participate in the local LETS. In England the ex-head of the Army Pay Corps has organised some ultra-conservative re-

tired people into a successful LETS.

LETS are an extension of the babysitting schemes set up among working women. Rather than have a teenage junkie look after their children, they are issued, say, 30 vouchers, each worth one babysitting hour, to each of the ladies involved in the program. Babysitters were paid in these vouchers, but were also given free access to the fridge. In due course the poorer ladies collected too many vouchers and the richer ones bought the vouchers back with goods or services which they or their husbands could provide. Now LETS include every kind of goods and service produced in a given area. It is quite astonishing how wide is the range. The supermarket can be largely circumvented, so that people can get foods and drinks which have not been deprived of their colloidal vitamins, enzymes and minerals. In the long run it is not only cheaper, it is much healthier. People can also eat in restaurants, paying partly in LETS and partly in Sterling or buy much better package holiday trips than they could possibly have afforded in the past.


I am hoping in the course of time that more LETS will teach the people to make use of the wonderful variety of herbs available in nature which have not been systematically polluted with insecticides and artificial fertiliser by the over-zealous agents of agribusiness.

What is more, LETS enable people to save their currency for items which are not made locally, such as cars, computers and TV sets. The same applies to education for their children, including private tuition.

Above all, LETS go hand in hand with credit unions which work somewhat like a building society. People pay so much per month into the system, so that they can borrow much more to buy a better dwelling or other large items that they could not possibly have afforded otherwise, without paying excessive interest or indeed sometimes without paying any interest at all.

Even more important, LETS and credit unions enable people to live a life free of debt, because the crucial tax factor and the unnecessarily high interest factor are both eliminated. People out of debt have put themselves beyond the tentacles of the Establishment. What is more, their vouchers are issued free of interest, which means that future generations will not have to pay for excessive borrowing by the present generation. Nor is it forbidden to combine participation in a LETS system with participation in the central bank's currency system.

I do not think that LETS can easily be taxed. After all, the fatcats in the City of London can issue one another IOUs worth millions of pounds without being taxed or paying interest. Why shouldn't ordinary people have the right to issue IOUs to one another which are also free of tax or interest?





**Canada.** They sue to stay out. They sue to stay in. Andrew Liebmann, 34, was offered the posh job of executive assistant to the the Canadian commander in the Gulf War. Then someone changed his or her mind. Someone decided that no Jews in the military should be sent to the Middle East. So Liebmann resorted to the oldest Jewish trick in the book. He whimpered he was being discriminated against because of his religion. Not because of his ethnicity, mind you, but because of his religion.

*From a subscriber.* The career of one of Canada's most odious "anti-racists" has been curtailed. David Lethbridge, a Chosenite Communist Party member originally from Montreal, made it his personal mission to harass Eileen and Claus Pressler, two of his fellow residents in the town of Salmon Arm, British Columbia. The Presslers' organization, the Council on Public Affairs, supported the likes of Ernst Zündel and Alberta schoolteacher James Keegstra. For that, Lethbridge subjected the Presslers to indecent exposure (mooning), obscene phone calls, vandalism, threats of violence and a campaign of vicious slander, in which CHBC, a TV station in nearby Kelowna, openly participated.

In a decision in May, the B.C. Supreme Court awarded Claus Pressler \$60,000 in damages and wife Eileen \$11,500. Justice Dermot Owen-Flood found Lethbridge had deliberately lied when he stated that a retirement home the Presslers were building was a Nazi compound. He went on:

He [Lethbridge] deliberately tried to drive them out of town. He succeeded in contributing to the ruin of Claus Pressler's pharmacy. He boasted publicly of this as a victory. He spread rumors which, in many instances, he knew not only were untrue, but could not possibly be true. It cannot be said that he acted with an honest belief and without malice.

CHBC, the court said, played an equally malicious role. Televised film footage using juxtaposition, trick photography and editing served "to create an entirely misleading sensational story."

*From a subscriber.* American number crunchers have guesstimated that whites will be a minority in the U.S. by the year 2050. Canadians may reach that particu-

lar Doomsday first. A news item on CBC-TV (June 7) declared that by 2000, a mere 18 months away, 54% of Toronto's population will be nonwhite.

Canada's feminized, faggotized military has hit a new low. It's now considering sex change operations for its personnel. Although the Dept. of National Defense previously turned down a few such requests, it's now seriously considering one soldier's plea for "gender reassignment."

**Western Europe.** The far right in Europe is strongest in Austria where the Freedom Party, headed by the semi-charismatic Jörg Haider, garners 20% of the vote. Next in line: Italy's National Alliance/Social Movement, 15% plus; France's Front National, 15%; Belgium's National Front, 10%.

**Britain.** The Church of England has a \$1.6 million financial stake in Pfizer, the company that invented Viagra, the anti-impotence pill.

Columnist Simon Heffer described the condition of present-day English cities for readers of the Daily Mail last Dec. 20:

Our capital city has become one of the most dangerous cities in Europe and other big cities—notably Leeds and Manchester—have vast no-go areas where police are unable to control crime. Interracial gang violence—not simply black against white, but Chinese on Indian, or Afro-Caribbean or Bangladeshi, now infest our inner cities. The American urban nightmare we have long watched on television has arrived.

Although most units in the British Army contain black and brown soldiers, the elite Brigade of Guards has steadfastly refused nonwhite entry into its ranks. Apart from guarding the Queen at Buckingham Palace and performing other ceremonial duties, the Guards, with their scarlet tunics and bearskin headdress, consider themselves a living link to their country's past. Understandably they prefer their members be white Anglo-Saxons. The social activists in Tony Blair's Labour government wish to change this "outmoded concept." They have invited Colin Powell to come over and show them how to integrate the Guards regiments. It looks as though the men whose forebears once

stopped Napoleon's army at Waterloo are about to experience their biggest defeat.

The Labour government is planning to deflate the House of Lords by kicking out hereditary peers and by the mandatory election of "life peers," the ones who serve only in their lifetime.

**France.** Maurice Papon, 87, the ex-Vichyite and onetime cabinet member, was given a 10-year prison sentence and ordered to pay \$756,000 in court costs at the end of his long, drawn-out trial—the longest in French history—during which his 88-year-old wife died. Papon, now out on bail, is appealing, a process which may take a year or so to grind through the courts. The man largely responsible for hounding the old French patriot almost to death, is Michel Slitinsky, a Jew born in Poland, not France. René Bousquet, another former Vichy official, escaped a similar trial by being assassinated in 1993. One other Vichy official, Paul Touvier, was sentenced to life in prison where he expired.

**Switzerland.** The interesting point about the ongoing Jewish shakedown of Switzerland, a point seldom raised, is where did Jews get all the money? At the beginning of WWII, Chosenites were only a small fraction of the population in Western Europe, including Germany. Nevertheless the World Jewish Congress has set an incredible \$230 billion as the amount that Jews lost to so-called Nazi looters and to the Swiss banks who laundered the Nazis' ill-gotten gains. If Jews really lost that much, then they must have accumulated a significant amount of Europe's wealth in the pre-WWII years.

Since the U.S. routinely takes the side of world Jewry in any and all disputes, the threat of boycotts and shutdowns of Swiss banks and businesses operating in America is very real. Already pandering American politicians are planning to force the Swiss to capitulate or face huge losses in trade and sales. So far the three largest Swiss banks have agreed to pay \$600 million to Holocaust survivors and their relatives. Jews characterize this amount as an "insult."

Since all these negotiations deal with events that happened some 50 years ago, why did the Jews wait so long before they struck? Probably because until recently they never thought they could get away with it. It took decades of steadily increasing power to convince them they were strong enough to take on a whole country like Switzerland. Now that they have found how easy it is to make the Swiss



crawl, Jewish organizations will probably go after other European countries. If the present trend continues the Western world may soon be divided into two parts: the rich (Jews) and the poor (non-Jews).

One of the most vicious attacks against a foreign country ever to appear in an American magazine blasted its way onto page 75 of U.S. News & World Report (June 22). Switzerland was formally accused of being a corrupt, thieving, venal nation. The article was full of outright lies, half-truths, quarter-truths and its brutal tone must have made any fair-minded reader wonder what was behind it. The writer was Mortimer Zuckerman, owner and editor of U.S. News, which often has better and more thoroughly researched stories than its competition, Time and Newsweek. But when Jewish hatred reaches the boiling point, truth, facts and reason go out the window.

**Baltic States.** Moscow's concern over the treatment of Russian "minorities" in Latvia, Estonia and Lithuania is grotesque hypocrisy. Russians still residing in the Baltic states are simply the residue of the Soviet occupation and a painful reminder of Stalin's attempted genocide of the indigenous peoples. Every Russian in the Baltic countries walks in the place of a Latvian, an Estonian or a Lithuanian who was murdered or deported to Siberian Gulags by the Soviet occupiers. The sooner the Russians return to their own country, the better.

**Balkans.** The interventionists are hard at work. A group that calls itself Refugees International is advocating the bombing and strafing of Serbia for its attempt to hold on to the separatist province of Kosovo. Who is the president of Refugees International? Lionel Rosenblatt. How does he define his organization? He calls it a "politically well-connected, Washington-based humanitarian advocacy group."

**Russia.** Facing China, Russia now has five army corps, three armored divisions, 12 mechanized divisions (10,800 tanks), seven artillery divisions, 10 anti-aircraft brigades, 3 paratroop brigades, 9 missile brigades, 5 anti-tank brigades, 310 helicopters and 795 modern aircraft. Le Libre Journal, a respectable French-language publication, points out that Russia's massive war machine is pointing East, not

West, which demonstrates that Russia has once again become the Western rampart against the Turks and Chinese, the descendants of the Tartars and Mongols, the Slavs' historic enemies.

Two Russian "Skins" sidled up to a black in Moscow, home to 20,000 Negroes and Asians, and gave him a severe beating that cost him two front teeth. The incident was newsworthy because the victim happened to be a U.S. Marine. One of the two Russian Negro bashers told a TV crew:

A black always means evil. That is why everyone of sound mind should kill blacks. It is the only way one can counter evil. . . . Black culture penetrates and contaminates the Russian culture. What we did today was we annihilated—we did away with—the source of another black epidemic.

A lot of isms are floating around Russia these days. The longest-lasting might well turn out to be racism.

**Israel.** Now that the Israeli Supreme Court has approved the use of torture to get Palestinian freedom fighters to talk, it's hardly surprising to hear that the learned judges have okayed hostage taking. Foreign nationals can now be held in Israel and traded for Israelis held by Arab countries. Hostage taking is a practice that has been severely condemned by practically every country in the world.

**South Asia.** The American Jewish community is very much concerned—with good reason—that the recently exploded Pakistani nuclear bombs will soon become Islamic bombs for use by Israel's angry neighbors. The first fission and fusion bombs were made in the U.S., chiefly through the efforts of native and foreign-born Jewish scientists. It was not long before these bombfathers shared their secrets with fellow Jews in the Soviet Union and Israel. As a result the Zionist state has a long headstart in the South Asian nuclear warfare race. Rather than have an arsenal of such bombs, which might take years if not decades to accumulate, Islamic states will have to depend on China to keep Israeli nukes at bay.

In view of all the talk about sanctions against India and Pakistan for going nuclear has anyone heard any mention that sanctions should also apply to Israel?

Very little discussion of Israeli nuclear devices is permitted in the U.S. media. It might provoke awkward questions.

**Australia.** There is a ray of light from Down Under. Pauline Hanson, an Australia Firster, recently formed a One Nation Party. Guess what? It won 10 out of 89 parliamentary seats in a Queensland election, not a bad showing for a spanking new political party. Hanson is solidly opposed to Asian immigration which, if not checked, will eventually turn the island continent from white to yellow. Federal elections are coming up this month. Members of the white race everywhere should wish Hanson well.

**China.** Picture Clinton on his recent China trip sitting grandly in an ornate hall in Beijing's Forbidden City as he is feted and treated like an ancient Chinese emperor. Now picture Clinton in that grubby hotel room in Little Rock soliciting without further ado a bout of fellatio from Paula Jones. Which is the real Clinton? We think we know.

There is a connection between more accurate missile guidance systems and Bernie Schwartz, the largest contributor (\$632,000) to the Democratic Party in 1996. Democrats may think less kindly about Bernie when one of the 13 long-range missiles China is pointing at the U.S. come crashing down in San Francisco. It won't happen tomorrow, but sooner or later China and the U.S. will come to blows, as they did during the Korean War. Jews swiped the secrets of the A- and H-bombs and gave them to the Soviet Union. Now another Jew has been selling state-of-the-art U.S. technology to another Communist state. *Plus ça change, plus c'est la même chose.*

To justify this self-annihilating strategy, still another Jew, Samuel Berger, the National Security Adviser, was rushed before the TV cameras. He insisted Schwartz's money had absolutely no bearing on the waivers which Clinton signed and which gave Schwartz the go-ahead to transfer missile guidance secrets to a potential enemy that is certain to become even more inimical as time goes on. Berger also tried to pretend that Johnny Chung, a self-confessed Chinese agent, who gave the DNC \$366,000, had no influence on America's China policy.

Where is all this treasonous rot taking us? What we once knew as America is becoming a preposterous political and cultural fraud. We give it a few more decades before the country breaks up into several shabby pieces.